

Coventry Local Plan Review Examination

Schedule of Main Modifications V5 (27.05.26)

This schedule sets out potential Main Modifications to the Regulation 19 (Proposed Submission) Plan [CD1]. Version 5 includes all of the main modifications proposed in response to the Inspectors' preliminary [IN2], further preliminary [IN2.1], supplementary questions [IN2.2], matters, issues and questions [IN3], supplementary question 1.6 [IN4], action points from week one hearing sessions [IN7], actions points from week two hearing sessions [IN10], action points from week three hearing sessions [IN12], and further action points published on 11th May 2026 [IN13]. Appendix A to this schedule now also includes updated text to reflect proposed changes to policy titles.

In so far as they represent main modifications to the Plan, amendments to the Plan's Appendices [CD1a] are referenced within this document.

Proposed changes to the Submission Policies Map [CD2] are set out in a separate schedule.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
Chapter 1: Introduction			
	Paragraph 1.8	1.8 As this is a review and not a new Local Plan it is important that matters are kept proportionate. To ensure clarity and to avoid unnecessary duplication the Council is proposing to merge <u>merging</u> the Local Plan and the Area Action Plan into a single document. <u>This Plan supersedes the 2017 adopted Local Plan and Coventry City Area Action Plan in their entirety. Appendix 1 sets out the superseded, deleted and new policies.</u> In line with national legislation, some policies have now been identified as Strategic Policies: as set out in Planning Practice	Response to PQ5 in IN2. To clarify that the Plan supersedes the adopted Local Plan and the Area

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		Guidance (March 2019) these are the ones that address the priorities for an area. Non-strategic policies deal with more detailed matters.	Action Plan in their entirety in accordance with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
	Appendix 1 to the Plan (as referred to in modified Para. 1.8 above)	See Appendix A to this schedule of Main Modifications. This will replace Appendix 1 of the submitted Plan in its entirety.	Response to PQ5 in IN2. To clarify that the Plan supersedes the adopted Local Plan

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			and the Area Action Plan in their entirety in accordance with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.
	Paragraph 1.11	1.11 Current legislation, The Duty to Co-operate (DtC), which was introduced through the Localism Act 2011 also requires the council to work closely and constructively with neighbouring authorities and a range of other organisations in addressing strategic issues. Paragraph 1.11 is proposed to be deleted (all subsequent paragraphs to be renumbered).	Response to Q1.8 d) in M1 [IN3]. To ensure consistency with the

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023 and regulations.
Chapter 2: The Vision			
Chapter 3: Overall levels of Growth & the Duty to Co-operate			
	Chapter 3 Headline	Overall Levels of Growth and the Duty to Co-operate	Response to Q1.8 d) in M1 [IN3]. To ensure consistency with the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			and regulations.
	Paragraph 3.9	3.9 <u>Through the process of the examination of the Local Plan</u> the Local Housing Need for Coventry for the period 2021-2044 2024-2042 is therefore 29,100 has been determined to be <u>26,046 net additional homes</u> (1,455 1,447 per annum) and this will be delivered fully within Coventry's administrative boundary through an urban and brownfield-focused strategy of allocations, <u>regeneration, windfall</u> and densification as set out in the Housing <u>and City Centre</u> chapters of this reviewed plan.	Response to AP48 in [IN13]. To reflect an updated plan period and discussion through the hearings.
	New Paragraph 3.10	<u>3.10 In terms of Gypsy and Traveller need, this is determined by the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) (2023) and identifies a need of 18 pitches between 2022 and 2042 to be accommodated on existing sites as set out in Policy H7.</u> NB New paragraph 3.10 inserted before the Employment Land Needs section of reasoned justification, will result in renumbering of all subsequent paragraphs.	Response to AP48 in [IN13]. To reflect the evidence base (GTAA) and to provide clarity for policy DS1.
	Paragraph 3.11	3.11 <u>3.12</u> However, alongside this work has been undertaken across the wider West Midlands region to understand the needs of large scale warehousing and logistics industries, the 'big box' units which are an increasing part of the economy both locally	Response to AP48 in [IN13]. To

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		and nationally and which <u>generally</u> need sites of 25 hectares and above to function effectively (subject also to locational requirements). The West Midlands Strategic Employment Sites Study (WMSESS) 2024 has therefore been jointly produced by several Local Authorities across this area to guide this work.	explain the context and reflect the evidence base.
	Paragraph 3.12	3.12 <u>3.13</u> Because the HEDNA was produced before the WMSESS, the Coventry and Warwickshire authorities jointly commissioned an 'Alignment Paper' (September <u>November</u> 2024) to ensure all evidence was consistent, and up to date in terms of need, supply and any residual need (i.e. any remaining need which each of the Coventry and Warwickshire authorities would need to plan for. <u>Through the process of the Local Plan Examination, a local need of 96 hectares of land for industrial and storage / distribution development was identified for the period 2024 to 2042 based on gross completions trends on non-strategic sites in the City over the 2011-24 period. The Council has a supply of 43.85 hectares of employment land. This supply relates to the allocations identified in Policy JE2 (with the exception of Baginton Fields which will contribute towards meeting strategic need) plus 6.2 hectares supply on non allocated sites. This leaves a remaining shortfall of 52.15 hectares for local need.</u>	Response to AP48 in [IN13] to provide context to updated policy DS1 reflecting a revised plan period and discussion through the hearings.
	Paragraph 3.14	In terms of office need, Coventry City Council is able to accommodate this, primarily but not exclusively within a revised Friargate allocation. In regard to local employment need (use classes E(g) (iii), B2 and B8), the City Council is able to accommodate 60 hectares of employment need, with a remaining shortfall of 45 ha which it is not able to accommodate within its own boundaries.	Response to AP48 in [IN13] which supersedes the earlier modification

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		Existing paragraph 3.14 is proposed to be deleted in its entirety.	proposed in response to FPQ18.1 (IN2.1).
	New Paragraph 3.14	<u>3.14 Delivery of employment sites to address this shortfall will be addressed through co-operation with neighbouring authorities in the FEMA to ensure they contribute towards this through their Local Plans. Delivery against the target of 96 hectares over the plan period of 2024-42. and associated extent of the shortfall will be monitored and reported through the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR), recording the amount of employment development (hectares and sqm of floorspace) including development on windfall sites - a strong historic track record of which is shown through the Windfall evidence paper (November 2025). The AMR will also record contributions from the FEMA authorities in terms of sites allocated to help address the shortfall, and whilst it will be for those Local Authorities to monitor progress on sites within their own administrative areas, the Coventry AMR will provide an annual update on progress.</u>	Response to AP48 & AP55 in [IN13]. To reflect a revised plan period, the evidence base and discussions through the hearings.
	Paragraph 3.13	3.13 <u>3.15</u> Further detailed evidence has been prepared in terms of the Employment Land Review (ELR) and Office Market Addendum in terms of how employment need should be planned for, and this includes allocations and the identification of key sites as set out in the Employment chapter. Office needs have been assessed <u>through the evidence base and Local Plan examination</u> to understand further detail about the quantum needed and changing demand for space and flexibility, given altered patterns of working especially post pandemic where hybrid working and use of	Response to AP48 in [IN13]. To reflect a revised plan period, the evidence

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		technology is now commonplace. <u>There is a requirement for 43,046 sqm of additional office floorspace between 2024 and 2042. 27,100 sqm will be provided within the Friargate allocation as set out in Policy JE2.</u>	base and discussions through the hearings.
	Paragraph 3.15	3.15 3.16 The above is for local need. In terms of a contribution to the wider strategic <u>employment</u> need, one allocation <u>contributes to meeting</u> meets this, Baginton Fields, which is 25 hectares in size. Ongoing work is continuing with partners under the Duty to Co-operate to work collaboratively and constructively to address strategic need as, being a constrained area, no further opportunities are available in Coventry.	Response to AP48 in [IN13]. Supersedes the earlier modification proposed in response to Q1.8 d) in M1 [IN3]. To reflect discussions through the hearings.
	Paragraph 3.16	3.16 The Duty to Co-operate (DtC) is a legal test that requires co-operation between local planning authorities and other public bodies to maximise effectiveness of policies for strategic matters in Local Plans. It was created by the Localism Act 2011. Further details are set out in the Duty to Co-operate Paper. Paragraph 3.16 is proposed to be deleted in its entirety.	Response to Q1.8 d) in M1 [IN3]. To ensure consistency with the Levelling Up

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			and Regeneratio n Act 2023 and regulations.
	New paragraph 3.17	<u>3.17 In terms of retail provision, this has been informed by the Retail and Centres Study 2024 and through the process of the Local Plan Examination. It has been determined that a ten year period (2024 – 2034) is appropriate for determining retail requirements of 3,844 sqm (net sales area) of additional convenience retail floorspace and 8,155 sqm (net sales area) of additional comparison retail floorspace as set out in the retail policies in section 7 of the Local Plan.</u>	Response to AP48 in [IN13]. To reflect the evidence base and a revised plan period.
	Policy DS1 Part 1	1. Over the Plan period 2021–2041 significant levels of housing and employment will be planned for and provided along with supporting infrastructure and environmental enhancements. <u>The following minimum amounts of development are required to meet the city’s identified needs:</u> a. A minimum of 29,100 <u>26,046</u> additional homes <u>between 2024 and 2042.</u> <u>b. 18 additional pitches for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation between 2022 and 2042</u> c. A minimum of 60 <u>96</u> ha of employment land <u>land for industrial and storage / distribution development between 2024 and 2042</u> to meet local needs within the city’s administrative boundary, including: i. The continued expansion of Whitley Business Park; and	Response to AP47 in [IN13] to clearly set out the minimum requirement for different types of developmen t, to ensure the policy is

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		ii. 15 ha strategic allocation adjoining the A45 as part of the Eastern Green sustainable urban extension (SUE). d. 25 <u>9.8</u> ha, to be provided at Baginton Fields, to contribute to sub regional need in Coventry and Warwickshire. <u>e. 3,844 sqm (net sales area) of additional convenience retail floorspace between 2024 and 2034.</u> <u>f. 8,155 sqm (net sales area) of additional comparison retail floorspace between 2024 and 2034.</u>	effective and consistent with national policy and the evidence base including a revised plan period. Supersedes the earlier modification proposed in response to FPQ18.1 (IN2.1).
	Policy DS1 Part 2	2. A minimum <u>need</u> of 41,200 40,800 <u>43,046</u> sqm overall <u>additional</u> office <u>floorspace between 2024 and 2042</u> provision to include 39,549 <u>27,100</u> sqm of office floor space at Friargate in compliance with Friargate Allocation JE2:1 with the remainder <u>of 15,946 sqm</u> delivered in compliance with the criteria set out in Policy JE4.	Response to AP47 in [IN13]. Supersedes the earlier modification proposed in response to Q2.10 in

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			IN3. To ensure the policy is clear and in line with the evidence base set out in the HEDNA [EB8] and in the Office Market Addendum to the Employment Land Review August 2024 [EB13D] and takes account of a revised plan period.
	Policy DS1 Part 3	3. Notwithstanding the above, Coventry's employment need for the period 2021 to 2041 is for 105 ha of employment land (including qualitative replacements). It is not	Response to AP49 in

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		possible to deliver all of this additional development land within the city boundary. As such, the Council will continue to work proactively with neighbouring Councils through the Duty to Co-operate to ensure that appropriate provision is made elsewhere within the Functional Economic Market Area. Policy DS1 Part 3 is proposed to be deleted in its entirety.	[IN13]. Supersedes the earlier modification proposed in response to Q1.8 d) in M1 [IN3]. To ensure consistency with the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023 and regulations.
	Policy DS1 Part 4	4. The Council will undertake a comprehensive review of national policy, the regional context, updates to the evidence base and monitoring data within 5 years of the date of adoption of the plan to assess whether a full or partial review of the Plan is required. In the event that a review is required, work on that review will commence immediately.	Response to AP49 in [IN13]. Supersedes the earlier modifications proposed in response

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		Policy DS1 Part 4 is proposed to be deleted in its entirety.	to PQ13 in IN2.and Q1.7 in IN3. To ensure consistency with national policy.
	Policy DS1 Part 4a	Furthermore, the Plan will be reviewed (either wholly or partially) prior to the end of the Plan period in the event of one or more of the following circumstances arising: - a. Through the Duty to Co-operate , the unmet employment needs of the city are proven to be undeliverable within the Local Plans of Warwickshire authorities; (NB Part 4a,b,c,d will need to be renumbered in line with other modifications to this policy)	Response to Q1.8 d) in M1 [IN3]. To ensure consistency with the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023 and regulations.
	Paragraph 3.16	3.16 The Duty to Co-operate (DtC) is a legal test that requires co-operation between local planning authorities and other public bodies to maximise effectiveness of policies for strategic matters in Local Plans. It was created by the Localism Act 2011. Further details are set out in the Duty to Co-operate paper.	Response to Q1.8 d) in IN3. To ensure consistency

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		Paragraph 3.16 is proposed to be deleted in its entirety (all subsequent paragraphs to be renumbered).	with the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023 and regulations.
	Policy DS2	Policy DS2: The Duty to Co-operate <u>Co-operation</u> and partnership working	Response to Q1.8 b) in M1 [IN3]. To ensure consistency with the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023 and regulations.
	Policy DS2 Part 1	1. Coventry City Council will work with neighbouring authorities within its Housing Market Area <u>Functional Economic Market Area</u> to support the delivery of the local employment needs identified in Policy DS1 that originate from the city.	Response to Q1.8 d) in M1 [IN3]. To clarify that housing

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			need can be met but there is a shortfall in meeting employment need.
	Policy DS2 Part 2	2. In order to ensure the affordable housing <u>strategic employment</u> needs of the city <u>sub region</u> are met, the Council will work with its neighbouring authorities to <u>support the delivery of strategic employment growth</u> secure opportunities for Coventry citizens to access affordable homes within Warwickshire where they are delivered as part of the city's wider housing needs being met.	Response to Q1.8 d) in M1 [IN3]. To clarify that housing need can be met but there is a shortfall in meeting employment need.
	Policy DS3	Delete Policy DS3 in its entirety. Policy DS3: Sustainable Development Policy 1. When considering development proposals the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the	Response to PQ15 and Q1.9 in M1 [IN3].

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). It will work proactively with applicants to find solutions to enable proposals to be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area, taking into account the ambitions of the One Coventry Plan and the Climate Change Strategy and including: a. access to a variety of high quality green and blue infrastructure; b. access to job opportunities; c. use of low carbon, renewable and energy efficient technologies; d. the creation of mixed sustainable communities through a variety of dwelling types, sizes, tenures and range of community facilities e. increased health, wellbeing and quality of life; f. measures to adapt to the impacts of climate change; g. access to sustainable modes of transport; 20 h. preservation and enhancement of the historic environment; and i. sustainable waste management. 2. Planning applications that accord with the policies in the Coventry Local Plan (and, where relevant, with policies in supporting plans) will be approved without delay, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. 3. Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision then the Council will grant permission unless material considerations indicate otherwise. 4. This will take into account: a. Any adverse impacts of granting permission that would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF taken as a whole; or b. Specific policies in that Framework that indicate that development should be restricted	To ensure that the Plan is consistent with national planning policy.
	Supporting text to Policy DS3 (paragraph	Policy DS3 is proposed to be deleted along with supporting text: <u>Delivering Sustainable Development</u>	Response to PQ15 and AP1 in IN7 to ensure

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	s 3.17 & 3.18)	3.17 The Government expects the planning system to actively encourage growth, giving local people the opportunity to shape communities, whilst providing sufficient housing to meet local need and support economic activity. This approach is entirely consistent with the Council's vision and aspirations for Coventry. In this context, it is important that the planning system does everything possible to support economic growth and sustainable development. 3.18 The NPPF sets out the presumption in favour of sustainable development and the need for sustainable economic growth. Local Plans should reflect this presumption within their own policy base to promote sustainable development at the local level.	that the Plan is consistent with national policy.
	Policy DS4 Part B	Policy DS4 (Part B) – Whitley Specific Masterplan Principles <u>This policy applies to Allocations JE2.3 Whitley Business Park and JE2.4 Land at Baginton Fields.</u> In addition to the general principles outlined in Policy DS4 (Part A) of this policy, development proposals which relate to this area should also have regard to the relevant requirements below:	Response to Q6.9 in IN3. To clarify which sites the principles in this policy are expected to apply to.
	Policy DS4 Part C	<u>Keresley SUE Specific Masterplan Principles</u> <u>In addition to the general principles outlined in Policy DS4 (Part A) of this policy, development proposals which relate to this area should also have regard to the relevant requirements below:</u>	To accord with the Erratum Statement (CD1b), as

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		i) Incorporate the recommendations of the Council's SUE Design Guidance SPD. <u>The Council's Urban Extension Design Guide SPD (2019) and specifically Appendix 1 of the Indicative Keresley Masterplan.;</u> <u>ii) Ensure that the planned Local Centres are located at separate ends (north and south) is in accordance with Policy R1;</u> <u>iii) Ensure the new defensible boundaries to the Green Belt are clearly supported on the western side of the site to Tamworth Road and to the north around Thompsons Lane;</u> <u>iv) Establish a comprehensive green and blue infrastructure corridor focused around the Ancient Woodlands, Hounds Hill and the Hall Brook. This corridor should run north-south between the Burrow Hill Fort to the north and the Jubilee Woodland to the south east; and</u> <u>v) Identify clear access points to the site and make appropriate provisions for new transport infrastructure and highway improvements to support the comprehensive delivery of the site. This should include:</u> <u>a. The provision of a new Link Road in accordance with Policy H2. The Link Road should be operational to traffic prior to the full completion of all development components within the SUE;</u> <u>b. The delivery of the Link Road should not be to the detriment of ProLogis Park; and</u> <u>c. The management of the existing highway junctions at Bennetts Road, Tamworth Road, Fivefield Road, Sandpits Lane, Thompsons Lane, Long Lane and Watery Lane to ensure they continue to operate in a safe and appropriate way.</u>	set out in IN7, AP2. Updated to include the further modification proposed in response to AP30 in IN12.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy DS4 Part D point i and addition of new point xi	i: Incorporate the recommendations of the Council's SUE Design Guidance SPD <u>The Council's Urban Extension Design Guide SPD (2019);</u> vii Westridge <u>Woodridge</u> Avenue <u>xi: Creation of a new high quality town centre in accordance with Policy R1.</u>	Point i change in Response to AP31 in IN12. Point vii correction of typo. New point xi in response to AP26 in IN10 to ensure consistency with Policy R1.
Chapter 4: Health & Wellbeing			
	Paragraph 4.9	4.9 An updated Health SPD will be produced which <u>The Councils HIA SPD and /or Council guidance</u> provides guidance on <u>the framework for the consideration of health impacts in relation to development proposals</u> producing Health Impact Assessments, for major applications and a checklist to assist in factoring in health considerations for smaller applications, ensuring that matters addressed are proportionate to the nature and scale of the proposal.	Response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the Government's intention that local planning

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			authorities will be unable to adopt Supplementary Planning Documents after 30 June 2026.
	Policy HW1 Part 1	1. All major development proposals <u>(as defined in paragraph 4.10)</u> will be required to demonstrate that they would have an acceptable impact on health and wellbeing. This should be demonstrated through a: a. HIA where significant impacts on health and wellbeing would arise from that proposal ; or b. HIA screening report which demonstrates that the proposed development would not overall give rise to negative impacts in respect of health and wellbeing.	Response to Q8.1 in M8 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is effective.
	Policy HW1 Part 2	2. All HIAs shall be undertaken in accordance with <u>having regard to</u> the Council's HIA Supplementary Planning Document <u>and / or Council guidance</u> any future equivalent .	Response to PQ14 in IN2. To improve the terminology for clarity, to

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			ensure that the policy is justified and effective. Updated to include the response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the Government's intention that local planning authorities will be unable to adopt Supplement ary Planning Documents after 30 June 2026.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy HW1 Part 4	4. Where the threshold has not been met for requiring a full HIA, for major applications of 10 dwellings or more, applicants will be required to demonstrate through their Design and Access Statements how they have taken health matters into account in line with principles contained in an updated <u>the Health HIA SPD and / or Council guidance.</u>	Response to PQ14 in IN2.To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective. Updated to include the response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the Government's intention that local planning authorities will be unable to adopt Supplement ary Planning

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			Documents after 30 June 2026. Updated to include the response to Q8.2 in IN3. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified.
Chapter 5: Jobs & Economy			
	Policy JE1 Part 1i	In this regard the Council will <u>have regard to the following criteria when considering development proposals:</u> i Support the continued growth of the benefits associated with the city's two universities and in doing so maximise the economic development and other	Response to AP20 in IN10 and response to

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		community benefits associated with them <u>having regard to the University of Warwick SPD.</u>	Q6.1 in M6 [IN3]. To clarify the wording to ensure that Policy JE1 is justified and effective.
	Policy JE1 Part 1k	<u>k. Support the modernisation and redevelopment of existing employment stock to support the local economy.</u>	Response to Q6.1 in M6 [IN3]. To clarify the wording to ensure that Policy JE1 is justified and effective.
	New Paragraph 5.14	5.14 <u>The quantum of Local Employment need is set out in the Reasoned Justification to Policy DS1 along with a summary of supply and the resultant shortfall. Table 5.1 sets out the components of the supply in relation to local need, which includes the employment allocations in Policy JE2 (the remaining capacity of those sites from the plan start date). The supply also includes 6.2 ha of existing commitments on non allocated sites. It does not include the allocation for Baginton Fields (JE2.4) as this is identified to meet strategic need.</u>	Response to AP57 [IN13]. Response to AP57 in [IN13]. To reflect the evidence, a revised plan period and

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		New paragraph 5.14 is proposed (will result in renumbering of all subsequent paragraphs).	discussions through hearings.
	Paragraph 5.14	5.14 5.15 The HEDNA (2022) along with the subsequent Alignment Paper (2024) sets out that for Coventry between 2021 and 2041 105 hectares of employment land (use Classes B2 and B8) is required. This does not include the strategic 'big box' need which is set out in the alignment paper as between 136 – 311 ha across the Coventry and Warwickshire sub-region. <u>The HEDNA (2022) along with subsequent Alignment Papers (2024 and 2025) indicate that there is a need for additional strategic employment sites in the Coventry and Warwickshire functional economic area, including in the M6 / A45 / A46 / M45 Coventry and Rugby area, principally to accommodate large scale units of at least 9,000 sqm on sites which are well related to the strategic road network. That need for strategic sites in the wider area is in addition to the need for at least 96 hectares to meet Coventry's local employment land needs. The only allocation in the Plan that could contribute to meeting those wider strategic needs, linked to the wider West Midlands Investment Zone, is JE2.4 Baginton Fields (9.8 hectares).</u>	Response to AP54 & AP57 [IN13]. To reflect the evidence, a revised plan period and discussions through hearings.
	Paragraph 5.15	5.15 <u>5.16</u> In addition the ELR Office Market Addendum (2024) <u>and consideration through the Local Plan examination</u> establishes an indicative minimum need of 41,200 40,800 <u>43,046</u> sq.m. office floorspace provision <u>between 2024 and 2042</u> with a recommendation for flexibility to allow for the ability to respond to changing markets.	Response to AP57 [IN13] which supersedes the earlier modification proposed in response to

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change						
			Q2.10 in M2 [IN3]. To reflect the evidence, a revised plan period and discussions through hearings.						
	Paragraph 5.16	5.16 <u>5.17</u> Table 5.1 below provides a summary of the employment land supply components for Class B2 and B8	Response to AP57 [IN13]. To revise the para numbering for clarity.						
	Table 5.1	Table 5.1 – Class B2 and B8 local employment land supply components for Coventry <table><tr><td><u>Supply components</u></td><td><u>Site Size (Ha)</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Completions 2021-2024*</u></td><td><u>25.4</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Committed supply</u></td><td><u>6.2</u></td></tr></table>	<u>Supply components</u>	<u>Site Size (Ha)</u>	<u>Completions 2021-2024*</u>	<u>25.4</u>	<u>Committed supply</u>	<u>6.2</u>	Response to AP57 (a) [IN13]. To ensure that the employment land supply is clearly summarised
<u>Supply components</u>	<u>Site Size (Ha)</u>								
<u>Completions 2021-2024*</u>	<u>25.4</u>								
<u>Committed supply</u>	<u>6.2</u>								

		<table><tr><td><u>Remaining allocations from adopted 2017 Local Plan carried forward (where not included above)**</u></td><td><u>28.6</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Total</u></td><td><u>60.2</u></td></tr></table> *data up to 31 March 2024 ** Includes 1.2ha at Austin Drive HELAA site. Excludes 25ha at Baginton Fields which is for strategic B8 Replace Table 5.1 as follows: <table><tr><td><u>Local Need supply components (April 2024)</u></td><td><u>Supply (hectares)</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Committed supply (non allocated sites with planning permission)</u></td><td><u>6.2</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Allocations remaining capacity (Policy JE2)*</u></td><td><u>37.65</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Total local supply</u></td><td><u>43.85</u></td></tr></table> <u>*Excludes Baginton Fields which is identified to meet strategic need</u>	<u>Remaining allocations from adopted 2017 Local Plan carried forward (where not included above)**</u>	<u>28.6</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>60.2</u>	<u>Local Need supply components (April 2024)</u>	<u>Supply (hectares)</u>	<u>Committed supply (non allocated sites with planning permission)</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>Allocations remaining capacity (Policy JE2)*</u>	<u>37.65</u>	<u>Total local supply</u>	<u>43.85</u>	in line with the evidence and a revised plan period
<u>Remaining allocations from adopted 2017 Local Plan carried forward (where not included above)**</u>	<u>28.6</u>														
<u>Total</u>	<u>60.2</u>														
<u>Local Need supply components (April 2024)</u>	<u>Supply (hectares)</u>														
<u>Committed supply (non allocated sites with planning permission)</u>	<u>6.2</u>														
<u>Allocations remaining capacity (Policy JE2)*</u>	<u>37.65</u>														
<u>Total local supply</u>	<u>43.85</u>														
	Paragraph 5.17	5.17 5.18 Table 5.2 below provides a summary of the employment land supply components for office uses	Response to AP57 [IN13] for clarity on												

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change												
			para numbering.												
	Table 5.2	<table><tr><td colspan="2">Table 5.2 – office supply components for Coventry</td></tr><tr><td>Supply components</td><td>Site size (sq.m)</td></tr><tr><td>Completions 2021-2024</td><td>22,299</td></tr><tr><td>Committed supply</td><td>24,940</td></tr><tr><td>Allocations from adopted 2017 Local Plan carried forward (where not included above)*</td><td>27,100</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>74,339 <u>27,100</u></td></tr></table>	Table 5.2 – office supply components for Coventry		Supply components	Site size (sq.m)	Completions 2021-2024	22,299	Committed supply	24,940	Allocations from adopted 2017 Local Plan carried forward (where not included above)*	27,100	Total	74,339 <u>27,100</u>	Response to AP57 (a) [IN13]. To ensure that the office land supply is clearly summarised in line with the evidence and a revised plan period.
Table 5.2 – office supply components for Coventry															
Supply components	Site size (sq.m)														
Completions 2021-2024	22,299														
Committed supply	24,940														
Allocations from adopted 2017 Local Plan carried forward (where not included above)*	27,100														
Total	74,339 <u>27,100</u>														
	Paragraph 5.18	5.18 <u>5.19</u> In terms of office allocations Coventry is able to meet its own need, through a majority of provision through a reviewed Friargate allocation. Since 2021, Friargate has delivered 12,449 sq.m floor space at Friargate 2 (Friargate One was delivered prior to the start of the plan review period), and a further 27,100 sq.m will be provided as part of the Friargate <u>mixed use</u> allocation. This totals 39,549 sq.m delivery at Friargate over the plan period, leaving a residual amount of around 1,650 <u>1,251</u> This leaves 15,946 sqm to be delivered in line with the approach set out in Policy JE4.	Response to AP57 [IN13] which supersedes the earlier modification proposed in response to Q2.10 in M2 [IN3]. To reflect the												

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			evidence, a revised plan period and discussion through hearings.
	Paragraph 5.19	5.19 In terms of remaining local employment needs excluding office provision 60 hectares for local need can be accommodated taking into account supply, 32 completions and allocations, leaving a residual need of 45 hectares. The city is unable to meet its need in full which has already been highlighted through Policy DS1 and reflects the importance of the Duty to Co-operate in ensuring the employment land needs of the sub-region are met. Paragraph 5.19 is proposed to be deleted in its entirety.	Response to AP75 in [IN13]. Removed as no longer needed in wider context of a revised reasoned justification section.
	Paragraph 5.20	5.20 In terms of strategic employment the Baginton Fields (Policy JE2:4) allocation meets the 25 hectares and above criterion and is to be retained as an allocation to contribute to the wider strategic needs of the sub-region, and again the Council will work with partners to ensure strategic needs are met. Paragraph 5.20 is proposed to be deleted in its entirety.	Response to AP54 & AP57 [IN13]. Removed as no longer needed in

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)					Reason(s) for change
							wider context of a revised reasoned justification section.
	Paragraph 5.21	5.24 <u>5.20</u> The Employment Land Review assessed Coventry’s <u>existing</u> employment sites and concluded that a number of these were particularly important in maintaining a resilient and varied employment stock: these are designated as Key Employment Sites. <u>Employment sites are shown on the Policies Map.</u>					Response to AP57 in [IN13]. To improve the terminology and referencing for clarity.
	Policy JE2 Part 1	1. A total of 52 ha of land is allocated for employment development within the city’s administrative area, plus 27,100 sq m remaining floorspace at Friargate as part of a wider mixed use allocation. The allocations are as specified below together with details of the type of employment development that will be promoted on each of these sites. <u>The following sites are allocated for industrial and storage / distribution development between 2024 and 2042:</u>					Response to AP51 and AP52 [IN13]. To reflect discussion through the hearings and to reflect a revised plan period.
		Site ref	Site	Ward	<u>Overall allocated</u> Site Area (as	Allocation (local Plan review) – area remaining (ha	

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)						Reason(s) for change
					allocated (ha) in 2017 adopted plan)	unless specified otherwise)		
		JE2:1	Friargate (part of mixed-use scheme)	St Michaels	7	27,100sqm office floorspace (part of mixed-use development)	6.52ha remaining overall site area. Friargate 1 and 2 delivered.	
		JE2:2	Lyons Park	Bablake	19	0	Delivered	
		JE2:3	Whitley Business Park	Cheylesmore	30	6.46	Part delivered	
		JE2:4	Land at Baginton Fields and South East of Whitley Business Park	Cheylesmore	25 <u>9.8</u>	25 <u>9.8</u>	Application awaited	
		JE2:5	A45 Eastern Green (part of mixed	Bablake	15	15	Outline application received	

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)						Reason(s) for change
			use site)				<u>Under construction</u>	
		JE2:6	Whitmore Park (part of mixed use site)	Holbrook	8	2.89	Part delivered	
		JE2:7	Durbar Avenue (part of mixed use site)	Foleshill	1.5	1.5	Application awaited	
		JE2:8	Land at Aldermans Green Road and Sutton Stop (part of mixed use site)	Longford	1.5.	1.5	Application awaited	
		<u>JE2:9</u>	<u>Land north of A45 west of Brickhill Lane</u>	<u>Bablake</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>11.8</u>	<u>Application awaited</u>	
			Total		107ha <u>64.3</u>	52.35 (excludes Friargate office)		

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)						Reason(s) for change
						floorspace figure) <u>47.45</u>		
	Policy JE2 Part 2	2. The Friargate, A45 Eastern Green, Whitmore Park, Durbar Avenue and Alderman's Green Road and Sutton Stop employment allocations are to be progressed as part of wider mixed use re-development schemes and should be supported by comprehensive Masterplans. <u>JE2.1 Land at Friargate is allocated for around 27,100 sqm of additional office floorspace between 2024 and 2042 as part of a mixed use development (H2.38).</u>						Response to AP52 [IN13]. To reflect the evidence, a revised plan period and discussion through the hearings.
	Policy JE2 Parts 4 and 5	4. The following sites, <u>shown on the policies map</u> at Appendix 9a and listed in bullet point 5..... 5. Key Employment Sites are shown <u>on the policies map</u> at Appendix 9a and are:						Response to SPQ5 IN2.2 To clarify that the information is shown on the Policies Map to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy JE3 Part 2	2. Proposals for the redevelopment in whole or in part of <u>the existing employment sites designated on the policies map, and other land and buildings in employment use,</u> employment land for non-employment purposes will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that the part(s) of the site where non employment development is proposed are:	Response to AP21 in IN10. To improve the terminology and include on policies map for clarity.
	Policy JE3 Parts 4, 5, and 6	4- <u>3.</u> In addition to at least one of the above criteria being satisfied it will also need to be demonstrated that: 1. The potential of the site to contribute to the employment land requirements of the city over the plan period is not significant; and 2. The proposal would not significantly compromise the viability or deliverability of other adjacent employment land or land allocated in this Plan for employment development; and 3. The proposal will not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the continuing operation of any nearby existing businesses. 5- <u>4.</u> Planning applications to which this Policy applies should be accompanied by written evidence to demonstrate that the proposed development satisfies the exceptions criteria highlighted above. 6- <u>5.</u> This Policy applies to land which is currently in use or was last used for employment purposes unless such land has been allocated in this Plan wholly for non-employment use.	Response to Q6.4 in M6 [IN3]. To correct the numbering error which resulted in a missing Part 3.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy JE4 Part 5	5. Proposals for new office development outside of Defined Centres shall be accompanied by a Sequential Assessment <u>Test</u> .	Response to Q6.16 in M6 [IN3]. To ensure policy is justified and consistent with national policy.
	Policy JE5 Part 1	1. The Council's preferred location for new industrial and storage/distribution development are the sites allocated for such purposes under Policy JE2. <u>Proposals for industrial or storage/distribution development on the allocations and Key Employment Sites listed in policy JE2 and existing employment sites designated on the policies map will be supported.</u>	Response to AP23 in IN10. To ensure the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy JE5 Part 2	2. Proposals for new industrial and storage/distribution development (including changes of use and the expansion of existing operations) on sites not allocated under Policy JE2, will be permitted provided that they are <u>Proposals for industrial or storage / distribution uses (including changes of use and expansion of existing uses) elsewhere will be supported if they meet all of the following criteria:</u>	Response to AP23 in IN10. To improve the terminology for clarity.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy JE5 Part 2c	c. Would not significantly compromise the viability or deliverability of land <u>land</u> allocated in this Plan for employment development; <u>or the viability and operation of existing employment generating uses on a site;</u>	Response to Q14.1 in M14 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity.
	Policy JE5 Part 4	4. In addition to the above, where B8 uses will include HGV parking and overnight facilities, <u>the provision of</u> electric vehicle charging and consolidation facilities. will be required	Response to Q14.1 in M14 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity.
	Policy JE8 Part 1	1. The Council's preferred location for new Research and Development (R&D) are the sites allocated for such purposes under Policy JE2. <u>Proposals for new Research and Development uses on the allocations and Key Employment Sites listed in Policy JE2 and existing employment sites designated on the policies map will be supported.</u>	Response to AP24 in IN10. To improve the terminology for clarity.
	Policy JE8 Part 2	2. However, proposals for new R&D, (including changes of use and the expansion of existing operations) on sites not allocated under Policy JE2, will be permitted provided that they are: <u>Proposals for new Research and Development uses (including</u>	Response to AP24 in IN10.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		<u>changes of use and expansion of existing uses) elsewhere will be supported if they meet all of the following criteria:</u>	To improve the terminology for clarity.
Chapter 6: Delivering Coventry's Housing Needs			
	Paragraph 6.1	6.1 Since 2011, the Authority's Annual Monitoring Reports show strong performance overall of housing delivery against the Local Plan requirement. The 2022/3 <u>2024/25</u> monitoring year showed cumulative delivery of 24.6% <u>22.1%</u> above the Local Plan requirement of 44,200 <u>16,800</u> at this stage in the projection.	Response to AP61 [IN13].
	Paragraph 6.10	6.10 The HEDNA identifies a local housing need of 1,455 per annum over the plan period. <u>The latest affordability data was released by ONS in March 2025 and relates to 2024. This provided an affordability ratio of 5.86 which if applied to the HEDNA demographic projections (see 2024 Review of Coventry's Housing Need Table 5.3) would generate a need for 1,447 dpa, or 29,100 26,046</u> in total <u>across the plan period</u> . Following a thorough consideration of <u>extant planning permissions</u> , sustainable development principles and in accordance with the NPPF, an assessment of land options through the Call for Sites, and the HELAA and a density study informing a strategy of increased densification, a capacity <u>supply</u> of around 31,954 <u>22,014</u> homes has been identified, which provides a degree of flexibility above the local need figure of 29,100. Table 6.1 below identifies the Council's housing land supply and sets out how the requirement will be met.	Response to AP61 [IN13] to provide clarity on need and supply.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change																		
	Table 6.1	<table><tr><td colspan="2">Table 6.1 Components of housing supply 2021-2041 <u>2024-2042</u></td></tr><tr><td>Housing land supply components (data to 30/09/24 <u>01st April 2025</u>)</td><td>Number of homes</td></tr><tr><td>Past net completions <u>1 April 2024 - 31 March 2025</u></td><td>7,666 <u>805</u></td></tr><tr><td>Committed supply</td><td>13,9975</td></tr><tr><td>Remaining allocations (2017 Local Plan) <u>Allocations remaining capacity at 1 April 2025</u></td><td>2,733 <u>14,415</u></td></tr><tr><td>Proposed new site allocations (local plan review)</td><td>3,503</td></tr><tr><td>Other identified sites (HELAA)</td><td>816</td></tr><tr><td><u>Capacity on sites with planning permission at 1 April 2025 not allocated</u></td><td><u>3,994</u></td></tr><tr><td>Windfall Allowance</td><td>2,800</td></tr></table>	Table 6.1 Components of housing supply 2021-2041 <u>2024-2042</u>		Housing land supply components (data to 30/09/24 <u>01st April 2025</u>)	Number of homes	Past net completions <u>1 April 2024 - 31 March 2025</u>	7,666 <u>805</u>	Committed supply	13,9975	Remaining allocations (2017 Local Plan) <u>Allocations remaining capacity at 1 April 2025</u>	2,733 <u>14,415</u>	Proposed new site allocations (local plan review)	3,503	Other identified sites (HELAA)	816	<u>Capacity on sites with planning permission at 1 April 2025 not allocated</u>	<u>3,994</u>	Windfall Allowance	2,800	Response to AP61 in [IN13]. To summarise the housing land supply for the modified plan period of 2024 – 2042.
Table 6.1 Components of housing supply 2021-2041 <u>2024-2042</u>																					
Housing land supply components (data to 30/09/24 <u>01st April 2025</u>)	Number of homes																				
Past net completions <u>1 April 2024 - 31 March 2025</u>	7,666 <u>805</u>																				
Committed supply	13,9975																				
Remaining allocations (2017 Local Plan) <u>Allocations remaining capacity at 1 April 2025</u>	2,733 <u>14,415</u>																				
Proposed new site allocations (local plan review)	3,503																				
Other identified sites (HELAA)	816																				
<u>Capacity on sites with planning permission at 1 April 2025 not allocated</u>	<u>3,994</u>																				
Windfall Allowance	2,800																				

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)		Reason(s) for change
		Total	31,493 <u>22,014</u>	
	Paragraph 6.11	6.11 The trajectory contained in Appendix 3 shows how development will be delivered over the plan period. <u>The five year requirement following adoption (ie for the period 1 April 2027 to 31 March 2032) is 8,621 net additional dwellings. That is based on the following calculation:</u> • <u>1,447 x 5 = 7,235</u> • <u>Actual shortfall in completions 2024/5 = 642</u> • <u>Trajected “surplus” over completions 2025-27 = 40</u> • <u>642 – 40 = 602</u> • <u>7,235 + 602 = 7,837</u> • <u>7,837 x 10% buffer = 784</u> • <u>7,837 + 784 = 8,621</u> <u>A supply of 8,397 homes has been identified over the period April 2027 to March 2032 resulting in an anticipated supply of 4.87 years on adoption. It should be noted that this position reflects the forecast supply as of 1st April 2025 and does not take account of any permissions or reserved matters approvals since that date. The 2025-27 position is a forecast for future supply up to the intended date of adoption where it is expected that 2026/27 completions will offset shortfalls recorded in 2024/25 and 2025/26 monitoring which reflect a downward fluctuation of development activity in these years.</u>		In response to AP62 in IN13 to reflect a revised plan period and updated trajectory.
	Policy H1 Part 3	3. <u>The principle of developing land identified for housing in the Local Plan will be supported</u> Housing land will be released in order to maintain a continuous 5 year supply. of housing land in order to support <u>The varied nature</u>		Response to PQ36 in IN2. To

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
		<u>of the component sites in Table 6.1 will encourage the</u> and flexible land supply to support housing delivery and <u>of</u> sustainable development. This will be monitored through the Council's Annual Monitoring Report							improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy H2 Part 2	2. The urban extension proposals at Keresley and Eastern Green are to be brought forward in full accordance with comprehensive Masterplans and in accordance with <u>must have regard to</u> the Council's Urban Extension Design Guidance SPD.							Response to PQ14 in IN2. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 header row	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF/PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA Ref.	Response to AP7 in IN7 for clarity
	Paragraph 6.12	6.12 The identified land supply will offer flexibility and choice across the city. The following policy, accompanied by Table 6.2 sets out the allocations referred to in the							Response to AP7 in IN7.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		Components of Housing Supply Table 6.1 above. As this is a review of the adopted 2017 Local Plan a commentary is also provided so it can be seen where the 2017 Local Plan allocations are underway or where they have been delivered, and those allocation reference numbers remain unchanged for clarity. New / amended allocation maps are at Appendix 9b. <u>The column headed 'Indicative Capacity' is based on an initial assessment of the site including consideration of local constraints and the application of the density assumptions in line with Policy H9. The actual number will be determined by masterplanning and/or planning applications through a design-led approach to optimise capacity and meet relevant policy requirements in the Plan.</u>	To improve the terminology for clarity.
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Delete allocations	• H2.5 Paragon Park (700 homes) • H2.10 Lyng Hall playing fields (185 homes) • H2.13 Grange Farm (105 homes) • H2.17 Nursery Sites, Browns Lane (80 homes) • H2.18 Mercia sports field (75 homes) • H2.23 Land west of Cryfield Heights / Gibbet Hill (20 homes)	Response to Q3.3 in M3 [IN3]. Deletion of allocations that were completed by 31 March 2025.
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Delete allocations	• H2.11 Elms Farm (150 homes) • Land West of Cheltenham Croft (15 homes)	Response to Q3.35 and Q3.42 in M3

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			[IN3] and AP8 in IN7. Deletion of allocations that were completed by 31 March 2025.
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Delete allocations	• H2:25 The Grange Children's Home, Waste Lane (15 homes) • H2:31 Paybody Building, Stoney Stanton Road (280 homes)	Response to Q3.51 and Q3.79 in M3 [IN3]. Deletion of allocations no longer being promoted for residential use or which have received planning permission for non-residential use.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:3 Walsgrave Hill Farm	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to Q3.26 in M3 [IN3]. To reflect the agreed new indicative capacity of 700 dwellings for Allocation H2:3. AP8 (a) in IN7.
		H2:3	Walsgrave Hill Farm	Henley and Wyken	900 <u>700</u>	GF	Retention and enhanced setting of listed buildings at Hungerley Hall Farm. Site to incorporate blue light access linking the A46 to the University Hospital. Facilitate and work with Highways England on highways proposals linked to a new Grade Separated junction at Clifford Bridge. Provision of essential drainage and flood risk infrastructure.	HE002- 24	
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:9 Land	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Dwelling number changed to reflect the

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
	at London Road / Allard Way				<u>Indicative Capacity</u>				latest planning permissions for Allocation H2:9. AP8 (b) in IN7
		H2:9	Land at London Road / Allard Way	Binley and Willenhall	200 <u>293</u>	PDL	Retention of sandstone boundary walls.		
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:14 Former Transco Site, Abbots Lane	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to Q3.32 in M3 [IN3]. To reflect that planning permission for 690 dwellings has been granted for Allocation H2:14.
		H2:14	Former Transco site, Abbots Lane	Sherbourne	400 <u>690</u>	PDL	Retention of sandstone boundary walls.		
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:15 Land at	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to Q3.52 in M3 [IN3] and Q3.53 in M3 [IN3].

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
	Sandy Lane	H2:15	Land at Sandy Lane	Radford	250	PDL	Retention of the Daimler Office building on Sandy Lane <u>Powerhouse Locally Listed building within the newly proposed Northern element of the allocation and consideration of the setting of the Daimler Office Locally Listed building on Sandy Lane.</u>	R004-24	To ensure the site specific requirements for Allocation H2:15 are justified, effective and in line with national policy. To ensure that heritage considerations are appropriately addressed.
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:16 Land at	Site ref	Site	Ward	Indicative capacity	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to AP58 [IN13]. No reasonable prospect of

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
	Carlton Road / Old Church Road	H2:16	Land at Carlton Road / Old Church Road	Foleshill	85	PDL	Retention of chimney, art-deco façade and railings of former weaving mill.		development by 2042.
		Allocation H2:16 is proposed to be deleted in its entirety.							
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:19 Land at Mitchell Avenue	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to AP10 in IN7 to reflect agreement with Sport England
		H2:19	Land at Mitchell Avenue	Wainbody	50	GF	Existing sports facilities <u>playing field land (and ancillary facilities)</u> are to be re-provided at the site of the former Aldermans Harris School at Charter Avenue or an appropriate alternative site within the local area as part of this development (in accordance with policy GE2)		

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:20 Land at Durbar Avenue	Site ref	Site	Ward	Indicative capacity	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to AP59 [IN13]. No reasonable prospect of development by 2042.
		H2:20	Land at Durbar Avenue	Foleshill	45	PDL	As part of mixed use scheme to deliver 1.5ha of redeveloped employment land (Policy JE2).		
		Allocation H2:20 is proposed to be deleted in its entirety.							
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:21 Woodfield School site, Stoneleigh Road	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to Q3.40 in M3 [IN3]. To reflect that planning permission for 24 dwellings has been granted for Allocation H2:21.
		H2:21	Woodfield School site, Stoneleigh Road	Wainbody	30 <u>24</u>	mix	New homes to link in with new railway station to be delivered as part of the wider NUCKLE project (policy AC6).		

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:22 Land at the Junction of Jardine Crescent and Jobs Lane	Site Ref. H2:22	Site Land at the Junction of Jardine Crescent and Jobs Lane	Ward Woodlands	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u> 25	GF / PDL PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses Retail space within extended district centre (policy R1).	HELAA ref.	Response to Q3.78 in M3 [IN3]. To reflect the findings of the Retail and Centres Study 2024 [EB27] which determined that new retail development is not required.
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:24 Land West of Cheltenham Croft	Site Ref. H2:24	Site Land West of Cheltenham Croft	Ward Henley	Total Dwellings 15	GF / PDL GF	Essential site specific requirements and other uses New homes to link in with new railway station to be delivered as part of the wider NUCKLE project (policy AC6).	HELAA ref.	Response to Q3.42 in M3 [IN3]. To reflect that Allocation H2:24 has

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change															
		Removal of Allocation H2:24 from Table 6.2 due to development being completed (will result in renumbering of subsequent allocations in the final plan).							been completed.															
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:25 The Grange Children's Home, Waste Lane	<table><tr><th>Site Ref.</th><th>Site</th><th>Ward</th><th>Total Dwellings</th><th>GF / PDL</th><th>Essential site specific requirements and other uses</th><th>HELAA ref.</th></tr><tr><td>H2:25</td><td>The Grange Children's Home, Waste Lane</td><td>Bablake</td><td>15</td><td>PDL / GF</td><td>Locally Listed buildings to be retained and converted with limited new build allowed to support a comprehensive scheme. Dense tree boundaries to be retained to protect wider Green Belt setting.</td><td></td></tr></table>	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	H2:25	The Grange Children's Home, Waste Lane	Bablake	15	PDL / GF	Locally Listed buildings to be retained and converted with limited new build allowed to support a comprehensive scheme. Dense tree boundaries to be retained to protect wider Green Belt setting.		Removal of Allocation H2:25 from Table 6.2 due to retrospective planning permission granted for non-residential use (will result in re-numbering of subsequent allocations in the final plan).							Response to Q3.79 in M3 [IN3] To reflect that Allocation H2:25 has received planning permission for non-residential use.
Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.																		
H2:25	The Grange Children's Home, Waste Lane	Bablake	15	PDL / GF	Locally Listed buildings to be retained and converted with limited new build allowed to support a comprehensive scheme. Dense tree boundaries to be retained to protect wider Green Belt setting.																			
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:26 Coventry Central	Development needs to be sensitive to View Cones 8: Mile Lane and 10: Quinton Rd, as defined in the Tall Buildings Design Guide and the Three Spires View Management Framework SPD. <u>A Heritage Impact Assessment will be required at the Planning Application stage.</u>							Agreed through the Statement of Common Ground with Historic England.															

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Police Station		
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:27 New Union Street Car Park	Development needs to be sensitive to View Cone 9: Mile Lane (Christ Church) as defined in the Tall Buildings Design Guide and the Three Spires View Management Framework SPD. <u>A Heritage Impact Assessment and an Archaeological Assessment should be required at planning application stage and green space should be incorporated into the southern-most area of the site.</u>	Agreed through the Statement of Common Ground with Historic England.
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:30 Whitefriars Street	Development needs to be sensitive to View Cone 7: Parkside, as defined in the Tall Buildings Design Guide and the Three Spires View Management Framework SPD. <u>The design, layout, scale and site capacity of development proposals must be informed by, and responsive to, preliminary archaeological site investigation such that the significance of the Carmelite Friary is sustained.</u> <u>Development design proposals should seek to minimise harm to archaeological remains that contribute to the understanding and significance of Whitefriars and its designated heritage assets.</u> <u>Development must provide a landscaped separation to Whitefriars Lane to deliver a high-quality pedestrian route.</u> <u>Proposals must include assessment of impacts upon view cones 6 and 7 of the CCC View Management SPD and local views from designated Heritage Assets.</u>	Historic England maintains its objection to the inclusion of the site allocation. The modified policy wording has been agreed through the Statement of Common Ground with

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
		<u>inclusive of the supply of verified Townscape Visual Impact Assessments (TVIA's)</u>							Historic England, in the event that the site remains in the plan in its proposed form. The first point of the modification has been amended through the examination process in response to AP9 in IN7.
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:31 Paybody	Site Ref.	Site-	Ward-	Total Dwellings	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to Q3.51 in M3 [IN3]. To reflect that Allocation H2:31 is no longer being
		H2:31	Paybody Building, Stoney Stanton Road	Foleshill	280	PDL	Existing NHS health facility within the city centre boundary	F008-24	

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)						Reason(s) for change
							that is likely to become available for residential or healthcare-based use or mix of both.	promoted for residential development.
		Removal of Allocation H2:31 from Table 6.2 due to the site no longer being promoted for development (will result in renumbering of subsequent allocations).						
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:32 Dale Buildings	Enhancement of listed medieval wall and Cook Street gate scheduled monuments and adjacent conservation area and Registered Park and Garden. Development needs to be sensitive to Archaeological Constraint Aea and View Cone 1: Foleshill Rd, as defined in the Tall Buildings Design Guide and the Three Spires View Management Framework SPD. <u>Development within the setting of Cook Street Gate and other nearby heritage assets must make a positive contribution to their setting, that seeks to sustain and enhance their significance.</u> <u>Development must deliver passive surveillance onto Cook Street Gate and its surroundings</u> <u>Development must respect View Cone One of the CCC View Management framework and deliver massing responsive to retaining views to Holy Trinity and St Michaels spires.</u>						Agreed through the Statement of Common Ground with Historic England.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:33 The Allesley Hotel.	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Agreed through the Statement of Common Ground with Historic England.
		H2:33	The Allesley Hotel, Birmingham Road	Bablake	48	PDL	Retention and enhancement of adjacent listed buildings and heritage assets and the Allesley Village Conservation Area that the site is within. Consideration needed of the Allesley Bypass dual carriageway that runs along the south of the site. <u>Due to the site's positive contribution to the Conservation Area a Heritage Impact Assessment should be required with any application.</u>	Bab001-23	
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:36	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Agreed through the Statement of Common

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
		H2:36	Land at Spon End	Sherbourne	750 <u>297 (net)</u>	PDL	Site part of Citizen Housing regeneration portfolio. Enhancement and improvement to the River Sherbourne and consideration given to the associated floodplain. Majority of the site is in Flood Zone 2 and part with Flood Zone 3. <u>A Heritage Impact Assessment should be required alongside a masterplan.</u>	Bab001-23	Ground with Historic England. Dwelling number changed at to reflect the latest planning permission see AP8 in IN7,
	Policy H2 Table 6.2 Allocation H2:37	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to Q3.12c in IN3.
		H2:37	City Centre South	St Michael's	1,575	PDL	Total allocation is linked to extant Outline and Reserved Matters permissions: OUT/2020/2876 and PL/2023/0002533/RESM to provide a high density mixed-use development that provides commercial	STM18-24	To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the site specific requirement are justified

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
							and learning and community uses, and public realm works. Retention and Enhancement of heritage assets, <u>in particular the Grade II listed Three Tuns mural by Willam Mitchell and for the permanent re-siting of the mural to be in a position visible to the general public. Recordings of the William Mitchell mural currently located within Hertford House will also be required to be undertaken along with an assessment of the feasibility for relocating it, unless removal is proven to be unfeasible; details of any temporary and final re-siting should be provided and</u>		and effective.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
							<u>a method statement for the removal and reinstallation should also be provided.</u>		
	20 HELAA sites included as individual allocations in Table 6.2 of policy H2	Site Ref.	Site	Ward	Total Dwellings <u>Indicative Capacity</u>	GF / PDL	Essential site specific requirements and other uses	HELAA ref.	Response to AP5 in IN7 Action points from week one hearing sessions to include the “identified HELAA sites” that are assumed to contribute to the housing land supply summarized in the November 2025 trajectory [PS5] in
		<u>H2:39</u>	<u>Land at Park Hill Lane</u>	<u>Bablake</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>GF</u>	<u>Development will need to ensure that highways access and provisions are adequate and suitable for the site and that noise and pollution from the A45 can be satisfactory mitigated. Retention of important trees and hedgerows.</u>	<u>BAB-023-24</u>	

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
		<u>H2:40</u>	<u>Wisteria Lodge</u>	<u>Earlsdon</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>PDL</u>	<u>Development will need to respond appropriately to the heritage setting within the Kenilworth Road Conservation Area and to the proximity to a Local Wildlife Site and to the large-plot residential character of the surrounding area.</u>	<u>EAR-001-24</u>	Table 6.2 as individual allocations.
		<u>H2:41</u>	<u>Former CovRad, Sir Henry Parkes Rd</u>	<u>Earlsdon</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>PDL</u>	<u>Former industrial site where development would be delivering a brownfield first approach.</u>	<u>EAR-002-24</u>	

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
		<u>H2:42</u>	<u>146-164 Lockhurst Lane / Livingstone Road</u>	<u>Foleshill</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>PDL</u>		<u>FOL- 001-24</u>	
		<u>H2:43</u>	<u>Burbidge and Son, South Side of Awson Street</u>	<u>Foleshill</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>PDL</u>		<u>FOL- 002-24</u>	
		<u>H2:44</u>	<u>Silverton Road</u>	<u>Foleshill</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>GF</u>		<u>FOL- 003-24</u>	
		<u>H2:45</u>	<u>2 Lewis Road</u>	<u>Foleshill</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>PDL</u>	<u>Development needs to be sensitive to the character of the Coventry Canal Conservation Area, to consider potential contamination / UXO, and to safeguard access arrangements</u>	<u>FOL- 006-24</u>	

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
							<u>along the existing right-of-way.</u>		
		<u>H2:46</u>	<u>Newfield House, Kingfield Road</u>	<u>Foleshill</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>PDL</u>	<u>Development to be sensitive to the onsite locally listed building and to the setting of the Coventry Canal Conservation Area.</u>	<u>FOL-007-24</u>	
		<u>H2:47</u>	<u>Bridge Street</u>	<u>Foleshill</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>GF</u>		<u>FOL-009-24</u>	
		<u>H2:48</u>	<u>Land at Caradoc Close, Henley, Coventry</u>	<u>Henley</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>GF</u>		<u>HEN-001-23</u>	
		<u>H2:49</u>	<u>Land at Halford Lane</u>	<u>Holbrooks</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>GF</u>		<u>HOL-004-24</u>	
		<u>H2:50</u>	<u>Land off Longford Road, CV6 6BH</u>	<u>Longford</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>PDL</u>	<u>Development should enhance the setting of the Coventry Canal</u>	<u>LON-001-23</u>	

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
							<u>Conservation Area.</u>		
		<u>H2:51</u>	<u>Land at Roseberry Avenue</u>	<u>Longford</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>GF</u>		<u>LON-002-24</u>	
		<u>H2:52</u>	<u>St Nicholas Church, Radford, Sherwood Jones Close</u>	<u>Radford</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>PDL</u>		<u>RAD-001-23</u>	
		<u>H2:53</u>	<u>Lansdowne Street</u>	<u>St Michael's</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>PDL</u>		<u>STM-006-24</u>	
		<u>H2:54</u>	<u>Bishop Street / Tower Street</u>	<u>St Michael's</u>	<u>129</u>	<u>PDL</u>	<u>A sustainable city centre site that could support higher density development.</u>	<u>STM-017-24</u>	
		<u>H2:55</u>	<u>Land at Nickson Road</u>	<u>Westwood</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>GF</u>	<u>Development to consider the site's typology context, which comprises adjacent Ancient Woodland, a</u>	<u>WES-009-24</u>	

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)							Reason(s) for change
							<u>Local Wildlife Site, Local Nature Reserve, Local Green Space, Mineral Safeguarding Area and Archaeological Constraint Area.</u>		
		<u>H2:56</u>	<u>Former Faseman House, Faseman Avenue</u>	<u>Woodlands</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>PDL</u>		<u>WOO-001-23</u>	
		<u>H2:57</u>	<u>Land at Ferrers Close</u>	<u>Woodlands</u>	<u>(49)</u>	<u>PDL</u>		<u>WOO-002-24</u>	
		<u>H2:58</u>	<u>Land at Attoxhall Road</u>	<u>Wyken</u>	<u>(193)</u>	<u>PDL</u>		<u>WYK-002-24</u>	
	Policy H3 Part 1b	1. New residential development, both open market and affordable housing and including the conversion of buildings from non-residential to residential use and which provides opportunities for self-build homes and community led housing, must provide a high-quality residential environment that ensures all new dwellings:							Response to PQ14 in IN2. To improve the terminology

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		b. comply with <u>have regard to</u> internal and external standards set out in the Design Guide for New Residential Developments SPD, Householder Design Guide SPD and Open Space SPD;	for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy H3 Part 1e	e. Where possible be located to meet Natural England's Accessible Natural Greenspace Standards (ANGST) doorstep standards or future equivalent and where this is not possible developer contributes <u>contributions</u> may be sought for local projects identified in the Council's Blue and Green Infrastructure Strategy and Action Plan; and	Response to Q5.1d in M5 [IN3]. To correct a drafting error.
	Policy H3 Part 4e	4. New developments should provide sustainable and liveable neighbourhoods, have consideration to the accessibility mapping as set out in the Council's Transport strategy and where possible have convenient, reasonable and practicable access that can be accessed safely and by all abilities to: e. high quality publicly accessible green space that can be used for a range of leisure and sporting activities, in line with <u>and must have regard to</u> the Open Space SPD.	Response to PQ14 in IN2. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy H3 Part 6	6. Sustainable transport provision and the infrastructure required to support housing development must be considered from the onset and conform <u>have regard</u> to the City	Response to Q5.1e in M5

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		Council's adopted Transport Strategy to ensure all sites have easy access to high quality public transport and walking and cycling routes.	[IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy H5 Part 3	3. The conversion of existing dwellings to uses other than primary residential will be resisted, unless <u>it can be</u> very special circumstances can be demonstrated including why the non- <u>primary</u> residential use would better meet the need of the local area and the aims of the Local Plan. than the existing dwellings.	Response to Q5.9 in IN3. To improve the terminology for clarity and consistency, to ensure the policy is justified and effective.
	New Paragraph 6.31	<u>6.31 The Coventry Local Plan Viability Report October 2024 indicated that the provision of affordable housing in the central parts of the city is likely to be challenging without public subsidy. Planning applications for residential development in the area identified as 'area applicable to policy H6 part 4' on the</u>	Response to AP44 in IN12 which supersedes

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		<u>policies map which propose a lower level of Affordable Housing provision due to development viability, should therefore be supported by evidence of approaches to grant funding bodies, demonstrating as a minimum the outcome of grant pre-application assessments.</u> (Insert new paragraph above after para 6.30 immediately before Policy H6, will result in renumbering of subsequent paragraphs).	the earlier modification proposed in response to AP14 in IN10. To ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy H6 Part 1	1. The Council will seek to maximise the delivery of affordable housing across the city, in accordance with the high level of need set out in the HEDNA. Affordable housing delivery should be in accordance with <u>must have regard to</u> the Council's Affordable Housing SPD.	Response to PQ14 in IN2. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy H6 Parts 3 & 4	3. New residential developments of 10 or more dwellings (gross) <u>or sites of 0.5 hectares (gross)</u> located within the area identified at Appendix 9(c) on individual sites, or on sites of more than 1ha will be required to provide 25% of all dwellings as affordable homes. This excludes Purpose Built Student Accommodation, co-living	Response to AP43 in IN12 and supersedes

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		accommodation and Build to Rent accommodation, where the affordable housing provision is set out in points 5, 6 and 7 of this policy. <u>4. Sites located within the area identified on the policies map identified as ‘area applicable to policy H6 part 4’ will be expected to demonstrate that grant funding opportunities to support the provision of Affordable Housing are not available prior to agreeing a lower level of provision.</u>	earlier responses to AP14 in IN10, SPQ5 in IN2.2 and Q5.4b in M5 [IN3]. To clarify that the information is shown on the Policies Map to ensure that the policy is justified and effective. To ensure that the size of site is consistent with that contained in national policy.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy H6 Part 5	5. On sites providing 25 bed spaces or more of Purpose Built Student Accommodation (PBSA) outside of Campus <u>(or on land immediately adjacent to the Campus)</u> as defined at policy H10, a commuted sum will be required in lieu of on-site 20% affordable housing provision	Response to Q14.1 in M14 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity.
	Policy H6 Parts 8 and 9	8. The affordable housing tenure and mix will be <u>determined in accordance with current national policy</u> based on the <u>local</u> need highlighted in the latest HEDNA <u>(or equivalent)</u> supplemented with Homefinder (or equivalent data). On this basis the Council will expect to seek: a. a tenure split of 60% social/affordable rent and 40% intermediate provision, b. of the social and affordable rent provision a minimum of half should be for social rent; and c. Accounting for any nationally set contribution for intermediate home ownership products, the remainder of the affordable home ownership is to be delivered as other intermediate home ownership products. 9. Proposals must meet national policy requirements to affordable home ownership initiatives and local eligibility criteria, and where these are updated or altered, the latest requirements will be applicable.	Response to AP16 in IN10. To ensure that the policy reflects the evidence of need and current national planning policy.
	Policy H6 Part 10	10. The expected mix for affordable housing provision will initially be guided by the HEDNA, however, through engagement with the Council and Registered Providers, positive weight will be given to a housing mix that is also informed by the latest Homefinder data (or future equivalent) to ensure the deliver reflects the most up to	Response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		date need and circumstances. The affordable housing mix should reflect the overall mix and type of housing proposed across the application site guided by the an updated affordable housing SPD <u>and / or Council guidance</u> .	Government's stated intention that local planning authorities will be unable to adopt Supplement ary Planning Documents after 30 June 2026.
	Policy H6 Part 12	12. A reduction in the requirements of policy EM11 <u>part 4</u> may be presented for assessment in order to maximise Affordable Housing delivery.	Response to Q13.6 in IN3. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy H6 Part 14	14. Affordable housing proposals must be in accordance with <u>have regard to</u> the design principles set out in Policy H3, including NDSS standards and the internal and external standards set out in the Design Guide for New Residential Developments SPD and Householder Design Guide SPD.	Response to PQ14 in IN2. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Paragraph 6.31	6.31 A Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) was completed in February 2023. This concludes that there is a need for 18 pitches between 2022 and 2041. 12 pitches have been provided since 2022 at the Siskin Drive site and as shown in the GTAA there is capacity to accommodate up to 6 additional pitches at the Burbages Lane site. Both sites are identified on the Policies Map. are currently 5 authorised pitches on Burbages Lane and an expected supply of 12 pitches following the redevelopment of the Siskin Drive site. This is able to cater for the assessed need (15 pitches) over the short term 2022/23 to 2026/27. Over the longer plan period to 2041 the GTAA concludes there will be a shortfall of 6 pitches but that these could potentially be accommodated at Burbages Lane.	Response to AP17 in IN10. To improve the terminology and referencing for clarity.
	Policy H7 Part 1	1. Provision will be made for at least 6 18 permanent pitches for Gypsies and Travellers. <u>These will be met through:</u> <u>a) 12 pitches at the Siskin Drive site as identified on the Policies Map</u> <u>b) An additional 6 pitches at the Burbages Lane site as identified on the Policies Map</u>	Response to AP17 in IN10. To improve the

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			terminology and referencing for clarity.
	Paragraph 6.41	6.41 It is important that density policies are applied in tandem with other policies of the plan and related SPDs to ensure appropriate levels of amenity space, landscaping and other onsite infrastructure. As such the Council's policy is set in the context of net densities that seek to maintain: • At least 20% of gross site area to remain undeveloped on sites in excess of 2ha; • At least 15% of gross site area to remain undeveloped on sites below 2 ha; • At least 10% of gross site area to remain undeveloped on sites within the transition zone • At least 5% of gross site area to remain undeveloped on sites within the city centre <u>6.41 In applying the residential density policy, applicants should carefully consider local character by undertaking a contextual analysis which should be submitted within application Design and Access Statements. Regard should be given to Local Authority guidance including the New Residential Development Design Guide SPD, Design Codes and where relevant Conservation Area Appraisals.</u>	Response to AP18 in IN10. To improve the terminology and referencing for clarity.
	Policy H9 Part 2	2. Proposed development density should be informed by a <u>The density of residential development should be informed by a design-led approach that makes efficient use of land and achieves high quality development that reflects the</u> site's local character and context. in alignment with other plan policies	Response to AP18 in IN10. To improve the

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			terminology for clarity.
	Policy H9 Part 3	3. To ensure that the most effective use of land, new developments, with relevant consideration to Part 2 of this policy, should seek to deliver the following densities: • Greenfield sites – 35 dwellings per hectare (net). • Brownfield sites 45 dwellings per hectare (net). • Sites <u>wholly</u> within the City Centre Transition Zone* 125 dwellings per hectare (net). • Development within the defined City Centre boundary** 250 dwellings per hectare (net). *City Centre Transition Zone as shown at Appendix 9(d) **City Centre boundary as shown at Appendix 9(d)	Response to Q5.3b in M5 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity.
	Paragraph 6.47	6.47 For the purposes of 'Campus' within policy H10, the areas are as per the adopted Warwick University SPD, and the University and Enterprise Area of the City Centre, or future adopted university masterplan Supplementary Planning Document. <u>The term 'immediately adjacent' refers to those sites that physically share a common border with / adjoin the campuses.</u>	Response to Q14.1 in M14 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity.
	Policy H10 Part 2	2. Purpose Built Student Accommodation (PBSA), proposed outside of the areas identified in point 1, whether new build or conversions, will be delivered in line with the Council's PBSA monitor and manage approach and will only be considered appropriate where: a- <u>applicants can demonstrate that a significant change in market circumstance has occurred that evidences a need for additional student</u>	Response to Q5.5b in M5 [IN3]. To improve the

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		accommodation. The PBSA monitor and manage approach demonstrates evidence of need for additional student accommodation; or b. There is support from one of the City's universities that evidences a need for additional student accommodation.	terminology for clarity.
	Policy H10 Part 3	3. Proposals <u>outside the University of Warwick campus as defined on the policies map</u> must provide evidence to show adaptability to other uses by being designed in such a way that it can be capable of being re-configured through internal alterations to meet NDSS standards to meet general housing needs in the future.	Response to AP19 in IN10. To improve the terminology and referencing for clarity.
	Policy H10 Part 4 & 5	4. Developments that comprise a predominantly studio ratio will be considered in line with the most up to date available evidence. 5. Proposals should comprise predominantly cluster units of no more than 8 units per cluster. <u>Development must comprise predominantly cluster units providing high quality accommodation with communal areas for cooking, eating and socialising suitable for the number of units. Proposals for studio flats will be considered having regard to the latest evidence of need.</u>	Response to AP19 in IN10 which supersedes the response to Q5.3d in M5 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy H10 Part 6	6. Design innovation will be encouraged within the PBSA sector, particularly in high quality affordable products, in line with <u>having regard to</u> the design standards set out in the Residential Design Guide SPD.	Response to PQ14 in IN2. Updated to include response to Q5.5f in M5 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Paragraph 6.62	6.62 Private rooms should include an ensuite, seating, desk space for home workings and sufficient storage space for clothes, luggage cases, bathroom items, laundry, general and recyclable waste and for other possessions. It should also provide a good living environment in line with <u>and must have regard to</u> the Council's New Residential Development Design Guide SPD, including good levels of natural light, outlook, privacy and ventilation.	Response to PQ14 in IN2. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the plan is justified and effective.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Paragraph 6.68	6.68 Co-living schemes should include adequate onsite communal outdoor amenity space in line with <u>and must have regard to</u> the Council's New Residential Development Design Guide SPD and Open Space SPD and is designed and managed in a way that fosters social interaction and encourages engagement between people.	Response to PQ14 in IN2.To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the plan is justified and effective.
	Policy H13 Part G	g. communal facilities and services are provided that are sufficient, in terms of location, spread, facilities and size, to meet the requirements of the intended number of residents at times of relatively high demand and offer at least: h. i. Direct access to a communal kitchen that does not require any residents to travel between different floors and which has adequate facilities for a 20 maximum of 8 bedrooms and adequate space for residents to store food and cooking and eating utensils and to prepare meals at times of relatively high demand. i. ii. outside communal amenity space (roof terrace and/or garden); ii. iii. internal communal amenity space (dining rooms, lounges, workspace); and iii. iv. laundry and drying facilities. h. the private units provide adequate functional living space and layout, and are not self-contained homes or capable of being used as self-contained homes; j. i. a management plan is provided with the application;	Response to PQ45, correction of formatting to ensure the policy reads correctly and is therefore effective.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)			Reason(s) for change
		k. j. it delivers a level of affordable housing (discounted private rent) as set out in Policy H6.			
Chapter 7: Retail and Centres					
	Policy R1	Site	Proposed floor space (sq.m gross)	Details	Response to AP26 in IN10. To provide greater clarity.
		New Eastern Green District Centre	Up to 10,000 sqm	To include a range of retail and leisure uses. <u>A sustainable high quality town centre will be provided. This will comprise a variety of main town centre uses in units of different sizes with attractive public realm, good access by walking, cycling and public transport and limited car parking provision.</u>	
	Policy R1	Site	Proposed floor space (sq.m gross)	Details	Response to AP25 in IN10. To delete text which was erroneously included in this section
		New Eastern Green Local Centre	Up to 1000 sqm	1. To include a range of small scale units providing a range of local community uses and top up provisions. 2. The comprehensive redevelopment of the Riley Square element of Bell Green District Centre will be supported in accordance with an overarching Masterplan for the area.	

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)			Reason(s) for change
				3. Further retail, leisure and other town centre uses at Arena Park and Brandon Park Major District Centres will not be supported during the plan period unless it is demonstrated that it will not have a significant adverse impact on the city centre or is an essential element of supported the wider tourism functions.	
	Policy R2	Delete in its entirety (and renumber policies which follow) Policy R2: Coventry City Centre – Development Strategy 1. The city centre will continue to be developed and regenerated to ensure it is a truly world class city centre, leading in design, sustainability and culture. This will be achieved by: a. Enhancement of its position as a focus for the entire sub-region and as a national and international destination to live, work and play; b. Enhancement of its retail and leisure offer to strengthen the city's sub-regional role; c. Provision of high quality office space; d. Becoming a hub for education; e. Including a variety of places to live which cater for different needs; f. Preserving or enhancing the character and setting of the historic built landscape and the archaeological environment; g. A connected public realm including public squares and green spaces, easily accessible through the creation of desirable and legible pedestrian routes; h. Accessible for all;			Response to PQ46 to avoid duplication with CC1A

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		i. Providing an attractive and safe environment for pedestrians, cyclists and motorists; j. Provide a high-quality public transport system that benefits from seamless integration and is well connected to existing and new infrastructure; k. High quality sustainable built design; l. Continuing to develop a vibrant and attractive night time economy; m. Providing opportunities to improve health and wellbeing; 75 n. Continuing to support greater integration of the university within the wider city centre in accordance with the City Centre policies of this plan; o. Recognising and preserving key views to the iconic three spires of St Michaels, Holy Trinity and Christchurch; p. Supporting the reintroduction of green and blue infrastructure throughout the city centre, including opportunities for de-culverting wherever possible.	
	Policy R3 Part 2	2. Centre boundaries and Primary Shopping Areas (PSA) for the following Major District Centres are shown on the Policies Map at: a. Arena Park; b. Cannon Park; c. Brandon Road. They will complement but not compete with the city centre and <u>will be of a scale and function appropriate to their role in the retail hierarchy as defined in the evidence base</u> contain a scale of development which is demonstrated to not impact negatively on the city centre and support the needs of their part of the city. <u>PSAs within the above centres should be the focus for Class E(a) activities at ground floor level.</u>	Response to AP45 in IN12 which supersedes responses to AP27 in IN10 and Q7.4 a) in M7 [IN3]. To improve the terminology and

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			referencing for clarity
	Policy R3 Part 3 paragraph at the end of the list of District Centres:	3. Centre boundaries and Primary Shopping Areas for the following District Centres are shown on the Policies Map at: a. Ball Hill; b. Bell Green; c. Brade Drive; d. Daventry Road; e. Earlsdon; f. Eastern Green* g. Foleshill; h. Jardine Crescent; i. Jubilee Crescent. They will <u>be of a scale and function appropriate to their role in the retail hierarchy as defined in the evidence base</u> contain a scale of development which is demonstrated to not impact negatively on higher order centres and <u>will support</u> supports the needs of their district of the city for bulk convenience shopping as well as an element of comparison shopping, service and catering uses. Social, community, leisure and small scale office uses will also be acceptable. <u>PSAs within the above centres should be the focus for Class E(a) activities at ground floor level.</u>	Response to AP45 in IN12 which supersedes responses to AP28 in IN10 and Q7.4 a) in M7 [IN3]. To improve the terminology and referencing for clarity
	Policy R3 Part 4	They will <u>be of a scale and function appropriate to their role in the retail hierarchy as defined in the evidence base</u> contain an appropriate scale of	Response to AP45 in

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Paragraph at the end of the line of Local Centres	development which is demonstrated to not impact negatively on higher order centres and <u>will support</u> supports their immediate locality for day-to-day convenience shopping and also some service and restaurant uses; and social, community and leisure uses. Small scale office uses will also be acceptable.	IN12. To improve the terminology and referencing for clarity
	Policy R4 Part 2a	2. Sequential Assessment <u>Test</u> a. A Sequential Assessment <u>Test</u> will be required for all Main Town Centre use proposals or any other use within use class E and F (or subsequent equivalent of these use classes) , outside a defined centre and should be prepared in accordance with national guidance. This should have regard to the centres hierarchy set out in policy R3.	Response to PQ51 in IN2 To ensure consistency of terminology in line with national policy.
	Policy R4 Part 2b	b. Where in-centre options are exhausted, the sequential assessment <u>test</u> will be applied to edge of centre locations as follows...	Response to Q7.5 b) in M7 [IN3]. To ensure consistency of terminology in line with national policy.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy R4 Part 2c	c. Retail proposals close to centres which do not have a defined PSA. Delete Part 2c in its entirety to avoid duplication.	Response to Q7.5 c) in M7 [IN3]. To avoid duplication.
	Policy R4 Part 3	3. Impact Test <u>Assessment</u> An Impact Test <u>Assessment</u> will be required for all retail and <u>leisure</u> other Main Town Centre use proposals outside a defined centre that exceed: • 1,000 sqm gross for schemes expected to impact on, or have the potential to impact on Coventry City Centre; • 500 sqm gross for schemes expected to impact on, or have the potential to impact on the Major District Centres; and • 250 sqm gross for schemes expected to impact on, or have the potential to impact on the District Centres. The Assessment of Impact should be prepared in accordance with national guidance and consider the potential impact on the vitality, viability, role and character of a defined centre(s) within the centres hierarchy (as set out in policy R3). Centres to be considered when undertaking sequential assessments <u>tests</u> and impact tests <u>assessments</u> will be considered on a case-by-case basis.	Response to PQ52 in IN2 To ensure consistency of terminology in line with national policy.
	Policy R5 Part 2	Proposals should seek to actively enhance the frontage of a unit within a defined centre in accordance with <u>and must have regard to</u> the Design Guidance for Shopfronts SPD	Response to PQ14 in IN2.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy R6 Part 2c	Proposals within defined centres will be permitted provided they: e. are in accordance with <u>have regard to</u> the emerging Adopted Hot Food Takeaway Supplementary Planning Document and any subsequent replacement	Response to PQ14 in IN2 and response to Q7.4 b) in IN3. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
Chapter 8: Communities			
Chapter 9: Green Belt & Green Environment			
	Supporting text to Policy GB2 (paragraphs 9.3-9.8)	9.3 The NPPF sets the approach to defining the Green Belt boundaries. Its purpose is to help ensure that the Green Belt (as defined in this Plan) endures beyond the Plan period and that defensible boundaries are maintained. 9.4 Through the 2017 Local Plan the Council put a mechanism in place that responded to potential longer term development options in Warwick District meaning its own Plan remained flexible and was able to respond to emerging circumstances in neighbouring areas. 9.5 Land along the southern boundary of Coventry was therefore designated as safeguarded land <u>in the Green Belt</u> for consideration as part of the 'next Local Plan review', i.e. the review now taking place. This safeguarding would have explicit regard to development proposals within Warwick District, that if brought forward for development over the course of this Plan period would create Green Belt policy 'islands' which would not meet the five tests of Green Belt set out in the NPPF. 9.6 In this context, these sites were safeguarded as their long term designation as 'Green Belt' is <u>contextually</u> dependent upon the development of adjoining land in a neighbouring local authority. 9.7 The sites themselves are largely utilised for a small number of low density homes, education provisions or are undevelopable due to the presence of ancient woodlands.	Response to AP32 in IN12. To improve the terminology and referencing for clarity.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		The 2017 Coventry Local Plan stated that should such development proposals not materialise within Warwick District these sites would be considered for a formal return to the Green Belt due to the issues raised above. 9.8 <u>9.7</u> At the time of writing, proposals are being considered for this neighbouring area through the emerging South Warwickshire Local Plan (SWLP), which is being produced jointly by Warwick and Stratford on Avon District Councils. As the SWLP has not yet reached an advanced stage <u>is being developed</u> the land will need to remain safeguarded for the reasons set out in the preceding paragraphs, and its future designation will need to be considered through a future Local Plan update. (subsequent paragraphs to be renumbered accordingly)	
	Policy GB3 Part 2	2. Inappropriate development will not be permitted unless very special circumstances exist. Development proposals, including those involving previously developed land and buildings, <u>on Local Green Space</u> will be assessed in relation to the relevant national planning policy.	Response to AP33 in IN12. To improve the terminology for clarity and consistency with national policy.
	Paragraph 9.10	9.10 <u>For the purposes of this plan the term ‘Green and Blue Infrastructure’ is to be applied in accordance with the definition of Green Infrastructure contained in the NPPF.</u> For the purposes of this plan, the term ‘Green Infrastructure’ also includes what is sometimes called ‘blue infrastructure’, that is, various water bodies	Response to Q9.8 in M9 [IN3]. To ensure that

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		such as canals and rivers for example. A sustainable growth strategy relies on protecting and improving the quality of the environment. Future development must be located to maximise the efficient use of land, well integrated with existing development, and well related to public transport and other existing and planned Green Infrastructure, so promoting sustainable development.	Policy GE1 is effective and consistent with national policy.
	Policy GE1 Part 1	1. The Council will protect and enhance green and blue infrastructure based on an analysis of existing assets, informed by and contributing to the delivery of the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and the <u>Green Space Strategy until its replacement by the</u> Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy and Action Plan or its future equivalent.	Response to Q9.8 in IN3. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure the policy is justified and effective.
	Paragraph 9.19	9.19 <u>In assessing value, alongside site specific assessments</u> the Council <u>will have regard to the</u> has adopted a Green Space Strategy <u>and adopted Open Space SPD</u> , which sets out the minimum local standards for <u>open and</u> green space provision. It <u>These documents</u> sets out the provision standards for the various categories of open space looking at quantity, quality and accessibility. There is also a set of quality standards for each of the provision standard categories. The same green space can sometimes contribute to more than category in the standards. This	Response to AP34 in IN12. To improve the terminology for clarity.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		<u>These documents</u> will ultimately be superseded by the emerging Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy and Action Plan for Coventry.	
	Policy GE2 Part 3	3. To support the proposed allocations at H2:19 and JE2:4 <u>the existing playing field land (and ancillary facilities) will be replaced by</u> the following sites are allocated for the provision of new sports pitches: <u>equivalent, or better standards in terms of both quantity and quality in a suitable location</u> a. Land at Charter Avenue (former Alderman Harris School site) b. Land east of Coundon Wedge Road	Proposed modification which supersedes the earlier response to Q14.1 in M14 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity and reflect agreement with Sport England.
	Paragraph 9.16	9.16 In 2021, the Environment Act came into force requiring the development and implementation of Local Nature Recovery Strategies (LNRS), with the LNRS regulations following in April 2023. The boundary for the emerging West Midlands LNRS includes Coventry, Birmingham and the Black Country and this work is being led by the West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA). <u>The LNRS will inform the assessments of applications alongside the Green Environment Policies of this</u>	To provide update to the context in the reasoned justification.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		<u>plan, including the incorporation of measures within development to support priority species.</u>	
	Paragraph 9.25	9.25 Through site selection and layout, developers should avoid or reduce any negative impact on biodiversity. They must deliver at least 10% BNG, as measured by the statutory biodiversity metric. There are 3 ways a developer can achieve BNG. They can create biodiversity on-site (within the red line boundary of a development site). If they cannot achieve all of their BNG on-site, they can deliver a mixture of on-site and off-site. If neither can be achieved then as a last resort they can buy statutory biodiversity credits from the government. The steps must be followed in order. Further information can be found on the government website, and local guidance is contained in the Biodiversity SPD which will be updated <u>and / or Council guidance</u> .	Response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the Government's stated intention that local planning authorities will be unable to adopt Supplement ary Planning Documents after 30 June 2026.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy GE3 Parts 1 and 2	1. Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), Local Nature Reserves (LNRs), Ancient Woodlands, Local Wildlife and Geological Sites will be protected and enhanced. Proposals for development on other sites, having biodiversity or geological conservation value, will be permitted provided that they protect, enhance and/or restore habitat biodiversity. Development proposals will be expected to ensure that they: <u>2. Proposals for development on other sites will be supported where they:</u> a. lead to a minimum 10% net gain of biodiversity, by means of an approved ecological assessment of existing site features and development impacts, and the creation or enhancement of habitats, urban greening features such as green walls and roofs, and/or the implementation of species specific features such as bird and bat boxes; <u>undertaken prior to development. Appropriate habitats should be created and enhanced in accordance with the statutory biodiversity metric, leading to a positive impact on biodiversity.</u> b. protect or enhance biodiversity assets and secure their long term management and maintenance; <u>Implement biodiversity features for priority species identified in the LNRS</u> c. avoid negative impacts on existing biodiversity; d. preserve species which are legally protected, in decline, are rare within Coventry or which are covered by national, regional or local Biodiversity Action Plans. 95	Response to AP35 in IN12. To clarify which elements relate to statutory Biodiversity Net Gain, and to clarify the hierarchy of onsite vs off-site delivery of BNG. Supersedes all previous modifications.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		3. Where this <u>it</u> is <u>demonstrated that it is not possible to meet part 2 of this policy, then the biodiversity gain hierarchy is to be followed, these steps are:</u> not possible, the net gain must be delivered off site. Only if evidence demonstrates that insufficient gains cannot be made to meet the 10% requirement will statutory credits be allowed to be purchased. <u>a. Deliver habitat creation enhancements within the red line boundary to offset the impacts of the development</u> <u>b. Deliver through a mixture of on-site and off-site biodiversity, or through a financial contribution via S106 or the purchase of biodiversity units within the Local Authority Area.</u> <u>c. Only where developments cannot achieve on-site or off-site biodiversity net gain utilising parts A and B, will the purchase of statutory biodiversity credits be allowed.</u>	
	Policy GE3 final paragraph	Further detail will be provided <u>in Council guidance to accompany the</u> an updated Biodiversity SPD. In the case of archaeological remains, all practical measures must be taken for their assessment and recording.	Response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the Government's stated intention that local planning authorities

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			will be unable to adopt Supplement ary Planning Documents after 30 June 2026.
	Paragraph 9.27	Trees that are already subject to protection either as part of an Ancient Woodland (as shown <u>on the policies map</u> in Appendix 4)	Response to SPQ5 IN2.2 To clarify that the information is shown on the Policies Map to ensure that the plan is justified and effective.
Chapter 10: Design			
	Paragraph 10.6	10.6 Policy DE1 requires development proposals to create safe and attractive streets and public spaces, which reduce crime and the fear of crime. <u>Developments should consider how they would meet the relevant ‘Secured By Design’ and ‘Park Mark’</u>	Response to AP36 in IN12 which

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		<u>principles</u> . As well as considering the impact of development proposals on public safety and the incidences of anti-social behaviour, the reference to safety in the Policy also relates to creating buildings and places that are better protected from terrorist attack, this reflects the Government's strategy for countering terrorism. Applications for development which affect higher risk buildings or spaces such as those that could attract crowds of people, should always fully consider the advice provided by National Counter Terrorism Security Office (NaCTSO) or future equivalent.	supersedes the earlier modification proposed in response to Q14.1 in M14 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity.
	Policy DE1 Part 1d	d. All development proposals, <u>both those for new buildings and new landscaped spaces</u> , should follow a design-led approach to deliver sustainable, high quality placemaking. Development should contribute positively to the <u>City's rich story of Architectural Innovation, the</u> wellbeing of existing and new communities, the quality of the surrounding built and natural environment, and should be planned and designed with reference to the climate change strategy and the adaptation and resilience strategy.	Response to Q10.1 in M10 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity and ensure that the policy is effective and positively prepared.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy DE1 Part 3	3. Where buildings in excess of 20m in height are proposed, these must be informed by <u>have regard to</u> the guidance of the Tall Buildings SPD.	Response to PQ14 in IN2 and response to Q10.2 in IN3. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy DE1 Part 5b	b. consider the local distinctiveness and identity of the site but also have regard to opportunities to enhance the local built and natural environment through new development and enhanced design <u>using local building materials where appropriate</u>	Response to Q14.1 in M14 [IN3] to provide additional clarity.
	Policy DE2 Part 2d	d. Where public art is provided, contributions and commuted maintenance sums for up to 10 years will be required <u>where justified and appropriate</u> , and include the cost of decommissioning where appropriate.	Response to Q10.3 in IN3. To improve the terminology

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			for clarity, to ensure that the policy is clearly written and effective.
Chapter 11: Heritage			
	Policy HE2 Part 4	4. The sympathetic and creative re-use of heritage assets will be encouraged, <u>in accordance with national policy</u> , especially for heritage that is considered to be at risk, so long as it is not damaging to the significance of the heritage asset. <u>where harm to the significance is assessed as being less than substantial.</u> The embodied energy present in historic buildings contributes to sustainability.	Response to AP37 in IN12 and response to Q11.3 in M11 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity and to ensure consistency with national policy.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Paragraph 11.9	The City Heritage Park and its key routes and linkages are <u>shown on the policies map</u> identified on the inset map at Appendix 5.	Response to SPQ5 IN2.2 To clarify that the information is shown on the Policies Map to ensure that the plan is justified and effective.
	Para 11.10 supporting text to Policy HE3	opportunities should be taken to improve the setting of the Charterhouse, the naturalisation of the river valley <u>where this does not conflict with its heritage significance</u> and the views through to the viaduct	Agreed through the Statement of Common Ground with Historic England.
	Para 11.14 supporting text to Policy HE4	11.14 In considering proposals for development, <u>and in line with para 11.13 above,</u> the City Council's the <u>Validation e</u> Checklist will set out what is will usually be required including: a) an initial assessment establishing the archaeological significance of the site to be submitted as part of any planning application.	Agreed through the Statement of Common Ground with

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		b) where appropriate an archaeological <u>investigation may be required</u> evaluation and subsequent publication of results to be carried out prior to commencement, where, as a result of the initial assessment, important archaeological remains are likely to be present. c) preservation in situ to be the preferred approach d <u>c)</u> justification together with appropriate mitigation before allowing any harm to, or loss of, the significance of a heritage asset. <u>11.15 Following archaeological investigation, where important archaeological remains are likely to be present, publication of results may be required prior to the grant of planning permission. Preservation in situ is usually the preferred approach.</u>	Historic England.
Chapter 12: Accessibility			
	Policy AC1 Part 1	1. The Council will encourage proposals that minimise the need to travel, maximise trips made by sustainable transport modes, incorporate liveable neighbourhood principles and reduce the dependence on the private car in accordance with <u>with regard to</u> the National Design Guide, National Model Design Code, Transport for West Midlands (TfWM) Local Transport Plan (LTP) and the Council's Transport Strategy and Coventry Connected SPD.	Response to PQ14 in IN2 and response to Q12.2 in M12 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			justified and effective.
	Policy AC3 Part 1	1. Transport Assessments will be required for developments which generate significant additional trips on the transport network. Thresholds for their requirement will be based on locally determined criteria set out in the Coventry Connected SPD <u>and / or Council guidance.</u>	Response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the Government's intention that local planning authorities will be unable to adopt Supplement ary Planning Documents after 30 June 2026.
	Policy AC3 Part 2	2. Travel Plans will be required for new developments which generate significant additional traffic movements. Detailed guidance on the requirement for Travel Plans will be <u>is</u> set out in the Coventry Connected SPD <u>and / or Council guidance.</u>	Response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			Government's intention that local planning authorities will be unable to adopt Supplement ary Planning Documents after 30 June 2026.
	Policy AC3 Part 5	5. Proposal will be required to encourage and incentivise sustainable travel, such as through the provision <u>or funding</u> of Mobility Credits.	Response to AP39 in IN12. To clarify the terminology.
	Policy AC3 Part 7	7. Further guidance will be <u>is</u> contained in an updated <u>the</u> Coventry Connected SPD <u>and / or Council guidance.</u>	Response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the Government's intention that local

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			planning authorities will be unable to adopt Supplement ary Planning Documents after 30 June 2026.
	Policy AC5 Part 5	5. Further details will be <u>are</u> set out in the Coventry Connected SPD <u>and / or Council guidance</u> , the TfWM LTP <u>Local Transport Plan</u> and TfWM Bus Service Improvement Plan.	Response to AP40 in IN12. For clarity and to reflect the Government 's intention that local planning authorities will be unable to adopt Supplement ary Planning

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			Documents after 30 June 2026.
	Policy AC6 Part 2	2. Measures which support the delivery of objectives in the West Midlands Rail Executive Rail Investment Strategy, and Midland Connect Strategic Transport Plan, <u>West Midlands Strategic Advice 2022 and relevant emerging local studies</u> for improved rail connectivity will be supported. This includes measures which facilitate improved rail services and supporting rail infrastructure, including further electrification of the rail network, on the Coventry north-south corridor between Leamington, Kenilworth, Coventry, Bedworth and Nuneaton and on routes to Leicestershire and the East Midlands. <u>Transport priorities may change in the future, therefore this list is non-exhaustive.</u>	Response to Q12.5 in M12 [IN3]. To ensure the policy is justified and effective.
Chapter 13: Environmental Management			
	Policy EM4 Part 1c	c. it does not impede flood flows, does not increase the flood risk on site or elsewhere or result in a loss of floodplain storage capacity. <u>An assessment of the ability of development to provide additional flood storage capacity and improve flow paths must be undertaken</u>	Response to Q13.1 in M13 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity and ensure

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			the policy is effective.
	Policy EM4 Part 1d	d. in the case of dwellings, it is evident that as a minimum, safe, dry pedestrian access would be available to land not at high risk <u>outside of the 1 in 100 year plus climate change flood event based on an assessment of hazard risk (see DEFRA Hazard rating)</u> , and;	Response to Q13.1 in M13 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity and ensure the policy is effective.
	Policy EM4 Part 2c	c. all opportunities to undertake river restoration and enhancement including de-culverting, removing unnecessary structures and reinstating a natural, sinuous watercourse will be encouraged <u>All development must undertake an assessment and implementation plan on what Environmental and Flood Risk Betterment can be provided by the proposals. The assessment should include but not be limited to, River Restoration, enhancement including de-culverting, removing unnecessary structures and reinstating a natural, sinuous watercourse and creation of flood alleviation measures</u>	Response to Q13.1 in M13 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity and ensure the policy is effective.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy EM4 Part 2d	d. unless shown to be acceptable through exceptional circumstances, development should be set back at least 8m (from the top of bank or top of a flood defence, <u>in certain areas this may be increased to 20m</u>) of Main Rivers and 5m from Ordinary watercourses for maintenance access, <u>the creation of space for future flood risk management measures and to create habitat corridors</u> . This easement includes existing culverted watercourses. <u>This is required regardless of the extent and location of the floodplain and should be taken into account when considering the developable area.</u>	Response to Q13.1 in M13 [IN3]. To improve the terminology for clarity and ensure the policy is effective.
	Paragraph 13.18	13.18 In respect of SuDS, it is important to emphasise the need for a management train where drainage techniques can be used in series to change the flow and quality characteristics of the runoff in stages. For a management train to work effectively the train must contain the right type of SuDS. The detail for this would be set out in the SPD <u>will be set out in Council guidance</u> , but all consideration should be given to the principle by ensuring developments implement source controls as part of the management train. In environmental terms this approach is good for water quality. With respect to future maintenance, it places the responsibility with the development owner and reduces or eliminates runoff from the small rainfall events which constitute the majority of rain events.	Response to SQ1.6 in IN4. To reflect the Government's intention that local planning authorities will be unable to adopt Supplementary Planning Documents

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			after 30 June 2026 and to ensure consistency with Policy EM5.
	Title of section that begins on page 138.	Previously Developed Land <u>Water Resources</u>	Response to AP41 in IN12. To ensure the title accurately reflects the content of this section and for consistency with Policy EM6.
	Policy EM6 Title	Policy EM6 Redevelopment of Previously Developed Land <u>Water Resources</u>	Response to AP41 in IN12. To ensure the title of the

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			policy accurately reflects the content.
	After paragraph s 13.30 add in new paragraph s 13.31 – 13.34. All subsequent paragraphs will need renumbering.	13.30 The Council recognises the importance of sustainable waste management both locally and in cooperation with its neighbours to ensure that all the waste management needs of the area are met. The key challenges for future growth in waste will be balancing economic prosperity and associated growth with the financial strains of providing necessary infrastructure. Waste policy of the Local Plan is applied in accordance with the Council's Waste Strategy. <u>13.31 The predominant residual municipal waste treatment method within Coventry is Energy from Waste (EfW). The facility saw expansion and improvement in 2020. Therefore, the land identified for the expansion of this facility has now been delivered as intended.</u> <u>13.32 On-going assessment of the needs and costs of both domestic and commercial refuse collection will continue to be assessed in detail to support the monitoring of the IDP. The supply of new domestic waste storage and disposal facilities will be required for all new properties and will be funded through a combination of council tax and, where viable, developer contributions.</u> <u>13.33 The West Midlands Residual Waste Study (2025) provides an overview of current and anticipated residual non-hazardous waste management capacity in the West Midlands. Appendix 2 of this study provides details of how the waste capacity requirements for the city have been calculated. Significant investment</u>	Response to SPQ 56.1 in IN2.2. To ensure that the plan is consistent with national policy requirements relating to waste management.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		<u>has been made in waste processing in the city. This includes a new materials recycling facility that will expand local recycling capacity to enable the city to process 175,000 tonnes of mainly domestic recycling annually. The majority of Coventry's non-recyclable waste is incinerated; however, improvements have been made the city's incinerator facility to improve efficiency through generating power and more recently heat, which supplies several key buildings in the city via the Heatline network. These improvements result in the city being able to process 315,000 tonnes of waste annually</u> <u>13.34 In accordance with the Statement of Common Ground, the Council will continue to work with its partners on the West Midlands Technical Advisory Body (WMRTAB) in addressing waste matters</u>	
	Policy EM7 Part 3	This policy will be applied in line with <u>having regard to</u> the Air Quality SPD.	Response to PQ14 in IN2. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	Policy EM8 parts 1 and 1e	1. <u>In accordance with national policy on waste management and the waste hierarchy</u>, the Council <u>and its partners</u>' Waste Management Strategy <u>needs assessments and strategies</u> will be supported through..... <u>1e</u> Existing <u>or proposed</u> waste management facilities <u>and</u> or land allocated for waste management uses being protected from encroachment by incompatible land uses that are more sensitive to odour, noise, dust and pest impacts; and...	Response to SPQ 56.1 in IN2.2.To ensure that the plan is consistent with national policy requirements relating to waste management.
	After Paragraph 13.36 add in a new paragraph	<u>The 2025 West Midlands Metropolitan Area (WMMA) Local Aggregate Assessment (LAA) provides the evidence for planning a steady and adequate supply of aggregates. This is achieved by forecasting future demand based on recent sales data, analysing current and future supply options, and assessing the balance between them. The WMLAA informs how Coventry will manage supply to meet the demand from construction and infrastructure projects.</u>	Response to SPQ 56.2 in IN2.2 To ensure that the Local Aggregate Assessment is referenced.
	Policy EM12 Part 1a	1. Building Efficiency Part L % improvement a. % improvement on Part L 2021 TER (or equivalent reduction on future Part L updates), through on-site measures as follows:	Response to AP42 in IN12. To ensure the

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		- Offices: ≥25% - Schools: ≥35% - Industrial buildings: ≥45% - Hotels (C2, C5), <u>PBSA</u> and residential institutions (C2, C2a): ≥10% - Other non-residential buildings: ≥35% <u>where feasible and viable</u>	policy is justified, clear and effective.
	Policy EM14 Part 1a	a. All major new residential (10 dwellings or more) and non-residential (1000 m ² floorspace or more) developments are required to complete a whole-life carbon assessment in accordance with Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) Whole Life Carbon Assessment guidance, <u>or its future equivalent</u> .	Response to Q13.8 in IN3. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that the policy is justified and effective.
Chapter 14: Coventry City Centre			
	Policy CC1(A)	Respecting key views to the iconic three spires of St. Michaels, Holy Trinity and Christchurch in line with <u>having regard to</u> the Tall Buildings Design Guide and View Management Framework SPD	Response to PQ14 in IN2. To improve the terminology for clarity, to ensure that

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			the policy is justified and effective.
	Policy CC1(C) Part b	b. When development occurs, a Flood Risk Assessment will need to be produced to appropriately consider the risk of flooding from all sources. Delete Part b (results in renumbering of subsequent paragraphs).	Response to Q7.8 in M7 [IN3]. To ensure the policy is justified and avoid duplication with Policy EM4.
	Last sentence of the first paragraph under 'City Centre Character Areas' explaining Policy CC1 part E.	The Character Areas are <u>shown on the policies map.</u> mapped in Appendix 9(f).	Response to SPQ5 IN2.2 To clarify that the information is shown on the Policies Map to show that the plan is justified

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
	(page 168) – all paragraphs will need numbering in this section.		and effective.
	Policy CC1 (E)	Northern Regeneration Area a. Proposals supporting the aims of mixed use redevelopment of the Area <u>opportunity for transformational placemaking through comprehensive masterplanning and a design-led approach</u> will be encouraged, <u>having regard to the West Midlands Growth Plan 2025 and in accordance with other parts of this Plan.</u> b. <u>In addition to the housing allocations in Policy H2 which fall within this area,</u> the area will be primarily promoted for new residential development in a range of types and tenures <u>a significant number of new homes will be provided from the mid 2030s onwards as part of mixed use regeneration proposals.</u> although a range of other uses will be acceptable subject to conformity with other parts of this AAP. These include: • Office; • Retail and commercial; • Social, community and leisure uses; • Education uses (including those linked to the university); Such uses should contribute towards active frontages at ground floor level. c. Green and blue infrastructure should form an integral part of all development proposals within the area. This should include the consideration of deculverting	Response to AP29 in IN10. To ensure the policy is justified and effective.

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
		where possible and viable. <u>The Northern Regeneration Area will seek to enhance the quality of publicly accessible spaces, introduce urban wildlife habitats, take opportunities for de-culverting of the River Sherbourne and seek to enhance the setting of heritage assets</u> d. New development opportunities should not conflict with any other policies within this Local Plan. e. Swanswell Pool is of historic importance to the local area and the city centre as a whole. Opportunities to expand the park and enhance its quality will be supported. This should include opportunities to improve its linkages to the wider city centre. f. Proposals for the redevelopment of White Street Coach Park will be encouraged, where they are linked to appropriate amendments to Ring Road junction 2	
Chapter 15: Infrastructure Delivery, Implementation and Monitoring			
	Policy IM1 Part 4	Developer contributions will contribute towards strategic infrastructure required to support the overall development in the Plan as defined in <u>having regard to</u> the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.	Response to Q1.12 in M1 [IN3]. To clarify that the IDP is not part of the Plan and

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			give it appropriate weight in decision making.
Appendices			
	Appendix 1	To be replaced in its entirety by the table at Appendix A to this schedule of Main Modifications (see also Modifications to para 1.8)	Response to PQ5 in IN2
	Appendix 3	To be replaced in its entirety by the trajectory provided in response to IN13 and agreed through the hearings. The revised trajectory will be inserted at Appendix B to these Main Modifications at the appropriate time.	See response to IN13 Supersedes Response to PQ5 in IN2 and IN7 AP11
	Glossary	<u>Mobility Hubs / Local Travel Points: Location with access to a range of transport options and community services in one place.</u>	Response to Q12.4 in M12 [IN3]. Definition for Mobility Hubs included in the Glossary

Ref	Plan location (policy/ paragraph / page)	Proposed changes Strikethrough (deleted text) <u>Bold underline</u> (new or amended text)	Reason(s) for change
			to improve clarity.

Main Modifications Appendix A

Local Plan Appendix 1 - Table of reviewed policies for the Local Plan and City Centre Area Action Plan

Policy Reference (Reviewed Local Plan)	Policy Title	Which adopted Local Plan / AAP policy it supersedes (where applicable)
DS1	Overall Development Needs	DS1 – supersedes adopted Local Plan policy DS1 (Policy wording updated)
DS2	The Duty to Cooperate and partnership working (NB Policy title proposed to be updated and modified to ‘ Co-operation and Partnership Working)	DS2 – supersedes adopted Local Plan policy DS2
DS3	Sustainable Development Policy	DS3 – Delete (as per response to PQ15 , originally intended to superseded adopted Local Plan policy DS3)
DS4 (Part A)	General Masterplan principles	DS4 (Part A) supersedes adopted Local Plan policy DS4 (Part A) (Policy wording updated)
DS4 (Part B)	Whitley Specific Masterplan Principles	DS4 (Part B) supersedes adopted Local Plan policy DS4 (Part B) (Policy wording updated)
DS4 (Part C)	Keresley SUE Specific Masterplan Principles	DS4 (Part C) -supersedes adopted Local Plan policy DS4 (Part C) (Policy wording updated)
DS4 (Part D)	Eastern Green SUE Specific Masterplan Principles	DS4 (Part D) – supersedes adopted Local Plan policy DS4 (part D) (Policy wording unchanged from 2017 adopted plan)

HW1	Health and Health Impact Assessment (HIA)	• HW1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy HW1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy HW1 (Policy wording updated)
JE1	Overall Economy and Employment Strategy	• JE1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy JE1 (Policy wording updated)
JE2	Provision of Employment Land and Premises	• JE2 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy JE2 (Policy wording updated)
JE3	Non -Employment Uses on Employment Land	• JE3 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy JE3 (Policy wording updated)
JE4	Location and Type of Office Development	• JE4 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy JE4 (Policy wording updated)
JE5	Industrial and Storage/Distribution Development	• JE5 – part supersedes adopted Local Plan policy JE5 (see JE8) (Policy wording updated)
JE6	Tourism/Visitor Related Development	• JE6 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy JE6 (Policy wording updated)
JE7	Accessibility to Employment Opportunities	• JE7 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy JE7 (Policy wording updated)
JE8	Location of Research and Development (R&D)	• New Policy - Part supersedes 2017 adopted (Policy wording updated)
H1	Housing Land Requirements	• H1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H1 (Policy wording updated)
H2	Housing Allocations	• H2 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H2 (Policy wording updated)
H3	Provision of New Housing	• H3 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H3 (Policy wording updated)
H4	Securing a Mix of Housing	• H4 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H4

		(Policy wording updated)
H5	Managing Existing Housing Stock	H5 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H5 (Policy wording updated)
H6	Affordable Housing	H6 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H6 (Policy wording updated)
H7	Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation	H7 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H7 (Policy wording updated)
H8	Specialist Housing including Specialist Housing with Elements of Care, Older Persons accommodation and hostels	H8 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H8 (Policy wording updated)
H9	Residential Density	H9- supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H9 (Policy wording updated)
H10	Student Accommodation	H10 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H10 (Policy wording updated)
H11	Homes in Multiple Occupation (HMOs)	H11 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy H11 (Policy wording updated)
H12	Build to Rent	New policy
H13	Co-Living	New policy
R1	Delivering Retail Growth	R1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy R1 (Policy wording updated)
R2	Coventry City Centre – Development Strategy	R2 – Delete (as per response to PQ46 , originally intended to supersede adopted Local Plan policy R2)
R3	The Network of Centres	R3 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy R3 (Policy wording updated)
R4	Out of Centre Proposals	R4 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy R4 (Policy wording updated)

R5	Retail Frontages and Ground Floor Units in Defined Centres	R5 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy R5 (Policy wording updated)
R6	Restaurants, Bars and Hot Food Takeaways	R6 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy R6 (Policy wording updated)
CO1	New or Improved Social, Community and Leisure Premises	CO1 – supersedes adopted Local Plan policy CO1 (Policy wording unchanged from 2017 adopted plan)
CO2	Re-use of or Redevelopment of Facilities	CO2 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy CO2 (Policy wording updated)
CO3	Neighbourhood and Community Planning	CO3 – supersedes adopted Local Plan policy CO3 (Policy wording unchanged from 2017 adopted plan)
GB1	Green belt	GB1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy GB1 (Policy wording updated)
GB2	Safeguarded Land in the Green Belt	GB2 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy GB2 (Policy wording updated)
GB3	Local Green Space	New policy (Unchanged policy wording, extracted from adopted plan policy GB1)
GE1	Green and Blue Infrastructure	GE1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy GE1 (Policy wording updated)
GE2	Green Space	GE2 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy GE2 (Policy wording updated)

GE3	Biodiversity, Geological, and Landscape Conservation	GE3 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy GE3 (Policy wording updated)
GE4	Tree Protection	GE4 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy GE4 (Policy wording updated)
DE1	Ensuring High Quality Design	DE1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy DE1 (Policy wording updated)
DE2	Delivering High Quality Places	New policy (Incorporates wording from adopted AAP policies CC4, CC5 and CC6).
HE1	Conservation Areas	HE1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy HE1 (Policy wording updated)
HE2	Conservation and Heritage Assets	HE2 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy HE2 (Policy wording updated)
HE3	Heritage Park - Charterhouse	HE3 – supersedes HE3 (no change to wording from adopted 2017 Local Plan HE3)
HE4	Archaeology	New policy (Incorporates wording from adopted 2017 plan policy HE2)
AC1	Accessible Transport Network	AC1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy AC1 (Policy wording updated)
AC2	Road Network	AC2 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy AC2 (Policy wording updated)

AC3	Demand Management	AC3 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy AC3 (Policy wording updated)
AC4	Active Transport Provision including Walking, Cycling and Micro Mobility	AC4 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy AC4 (Policy wording updated)
AC5	Bus, Demand Response Transit and Rapid Transit	AC5 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy AC5 (Policy wording updated)
AC6	Rail	AC6 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy AC6 (Policy wording updated)
AC7	Freight	AC7 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy AC7 (Policy wording updated)
EM1	Planning for Climate Change Adaptation	EM1 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy EM1 (Policy wording updated)
EM2	Building Standards	2017 adopted plan policy deleted. (superseded by policies Local Plan Review policies EM11-EM14)
EM3	Renewable Energy Generation	2017 adopted plan policy deleted (superseded by policies Local Plan Review policies EM11-EM12)
EM4	Flood Risk Management	EM4 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy EM4 (Policy wording updated)
EM5	Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	EM5 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy EM5 (Policy wording updated)

EM6	Redevelopment of Previously Developed Land <u>NB Policy title proposed to be updated and modified to 'Water Resources' to reflect policy content</u>	• EM6 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy EM6
EM7	Air Quality	• EM7 - supersedes adopted Local Plan policy EM7 (Policy wording updated)
EM8	Waste Management	• EM8 – Superseded by EM8 (Policy wording unchanged from 2017 adopted plan)
EM9	Safeguarding Mineral Resources	• EM9 – Superseded by EM9 (Policy wording unchanged from 2017 adopted plan)
EM10	Non-Mineral Development in Mineral Safeguarding Areas	• EM10 – Superseded by EM10 (Policy wording unchanged from 2017 adopted plan)
EM11	Energy Infrastructure	• New policy (Supersedes 2017 plan policies EM2 and EM3)
EM12	Reducing operational carbon in new build non-residential development	• New policy (Supersedes 2017 plan policies EM2 and EM3)
EM13	Overheating in new buildings	• New policy (Supersedes 2017 plan policy EM2)
EM14	Embodied carbon and waste	• New policy (Supersedes 2017 plan policy EM2)
EM15	Noise	• New policy
C1	Broadband and Mobile Internet	• N/A – policy deleted
C2	Telecommunications	• N/A – policy deleted
CC1 Part A	Coventry City Centre – Development Strategy	• Supersedes policy CC1 (part A) of the 2017 AAP

		(Policy wording updated)
CC1 Parts B-E	City Centre Policy Parts B-E	Supersedes policy CC1 of the 2017 AAP (Policy wording updated)
IM1	Developer Contributions for Infrastructure	IM1 supersedes adopted Local Plan policy IM1 (Policy wording updated)

Further note on the Area Action Plan policies for completeness: the following policy references are deleted from the reviewed Plan but this table shows where they are incorporated into the document.

Adopted AAP Policy reference	Adopted AAP Policy title	Where the policy has been incorporated into the reviewed plan
CC2	City Centre Heritage	City Centre Heritage incorporated into updated Heritage Policy HE2
CC3	Building Design	Incorporated into LPR Building design Policy DE1
CC4	Public Art	Incorporated within new policy DE2
CC5	Lighting	Incorporated within new policy DE2
CC6	Public Realm	Incorporated within new policy DE2
CC7	Tall Buildings	Incorporated within policy DE1 & CC1(A)
CC8	Green and Blue Infrastructure	CC1 (Part B) - moved and supersedes adopted Local Plan policy CC1 (Part B)
CC9	Drainage & Flood Risk	CC1 (Part D) moved and supersedes adopted Local Plan policy CC1 (Part D)

CC10	Environmental Management	CC1 (Part D) - moved and supersedes adopted Local Plan policy CC1 (Part D)
CC11	Accessibility	Policy deleted
CC12	Friargate	Policy Deleted. Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E
CC12	Cathedrals and Cultural Area	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E
CC13	Civic Area	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E
CC14	Far Gosford Street Area	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E
CC15	Health and Education Area	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E (Stoney Stanton Road Character Area)
CC16	Leisure and Entertainment Area	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E (Corporation Street Character Area)
CC18	The Primary Shopping Area	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E (Mixed Use Core Area)
CC19	Primary Shopping Area Regeneration - South	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E (Mixed Use Core Area)
CC20	Primary Shopping Area Regeneration - North	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E (Mixed Use Core Area)
CC21	Cathedral Lanes	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E (Mixed Use Core Area)
CC22	Primary and Secondary Frontages	CC1 (Part E) - moved and supersedes adopted Local Plan policy CC1 (Part E) (Mixed Use Core Area)

CC23	Technology Park Area - Parkside	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E (Parkside Area)
CC24	University and Enterprise Area	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E
CC25	Regenerations Areas	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E (Northern Regeneration Area)
CC26	The Warwick Row Area	Superseded by Plan Review Policy CC1 Part E

Main Modifications Appendix B

The previous versions of the housing trajectory have been superseded and reference should be made to the Council's response to IN13 which includes updates to the trajectory to reflect a revised plan period and discussion through the Hearings.